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1. BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Conservation of biodiversity, including wildlife, is essential. Consequences of biodiversity loss and the resulting loss of ecosystem services have a far-reaching impact on livelihoods and overall well-being of human communities. More so because of India’s cultural heritage where co-existence is the natural way of living. This situation, however, is changing. Increasing human population in India, and consequent demand for natural resources, is leading to degradation and fragmentation of natural habitats. This is creating a situation where the humans and wildlife are increasingly competing for the same resources. The shift from ‘co-existence’ to ‘conflict’ has the potential to undermine existing and future conservation efforts, and hinder achievement of both Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and Biodiversity Targets.

Human-Wildlife Conflict (HWC) is a human-induced phenomenon. Therefore, all HWC mitigation measures must be developed in a truly participatory manner, engaging all the key stakeholders. The welfare of wild animals involved in the conflict should be given equal importance while planning and implementing any HWC mitigation measures (The National Wildlife Action Plan of India (2017-2031)).

Recognizing that there is a need to create an enabling environment for wildlife managers as well as communities to address the conflict situation, and strengthen their capacities in the most efficient and effective manner, the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC), Government of India, is collaborating with Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ), under the Indo-German Development Cooperation Project on “Human Wildlife Conflict Mitigation in India” (2017-2021).

The purpose of developing HWC mitigation strategy and action plans at national and state levels, is to facilitate a common understanding and consensus among key stakeholders in India, on key approaches and possible solutions for mitigating HWC in India.

For effectively mitigating HWC, it is important that there is a coordinated and synergistic effort at national and state levels. State-level HWC mitigation strategy and action plans, developed in line with a national plan, using a common framework and approach, will help in facilitating this coordinated and synergistic action.

2. INDIA’S APPROACH TO MITIGATE HUMAN–WILDLIFE CONFLICT

The National HWC Mitigation Strategy and Action Plan (HWC-NAP) for India is a guiding document. It facilitates a holistic approach to mitigate HWC, in an inclusive and sustainable manner. The HWC-NAP presents an important opportunity and framework to mainstream HWC mitigation criteria in policies, plans and programmes of the forest sector and other sectors, and at all levels of implementation – national, state and local. This ensures the achievement of overall conservation goals, leading to the continued provision of ecosystem services necessary for the well-being of humans.

The HWC-NAP is based on the following five strategic priority areas (each of which is associated with elements of a holistic approach): addressing the drivers; reducing the pressures; data and information for decision making and rapid response; reduction of impacts on humans and wildlife; and strengthening of institutional and financial structures for effective implementation. These priority areas are complemented by 24 strategic goals, several results and achievements, and further specifications and recommendations for possible interventions to achieve these results, implementation timeframes such as short-term (2021-22), medium-term (2021-2025), and long-term (to be continued in the next plan period as well); responsible implementation entities (i.e. MoEFCC, State Forest Departments, institutions, NGOs), and a robust monitoring framework with indicators of progress, output and impact levels, verifiers of indicators, and agencies responsible for assessing the indicators.

Following is the basic framework of guiding principles and approach within which the HWC-NAP has been developed:

Working towards harmonious co-existence: Wildlife, as part of our biodiversity, is fundamental to sustain ecosystem processes, functions and the continued delivery of ecosystem services. These are the foundation of livelihood security, health and overall well-being of all living beings. Therefore, both humans and wildlife should be protected from conflicts, while maintaining a balance between the needs of humans and the conservation of nature. To find a balance, and to look forward to equal importance to the welfare of animals as well as humans, viz., ‘harmonious co-
existence’, it is crucial to find solutions keeping in mind that the issues of HWC arise due to conflicting needs of wildlife and humans within the same landscape. Therefore, the solution lies in taking a landscape approach while formulating solutions for mitigating HWC and keeping in mind the welfare of all players involved in the conflict. This approach aims at balancing the welfare of both, humans and wildlife, to achieve a harmonious co-existence. All efforts must be made to ensure that the mitigation measures are developed, assessed, customized and evaluated through site-specific HWC mitigation instruments that are not only effective but also wildlife-friendly.

Holistic approach in addressing HWC by considering the thematic triangle of driver-prevention-damage mitigation: HWC is a multi-faceted challenge, and thus requires an integrated and holistic approach to find solutions towards its mitigation. It is important to distinguish drivers and pressures, to correctly assess the states and impacts, while formulating the responses.

A holistic approach addresses the issue of HWC from three angles. First, by addressing the drivers and providing a conducive policy environment, through policy-making and cross-sectoral cooperation. Second, by effective use of suitable instruments, traditional knowledge and modern technology to prevent incidents of conflict. Third, by reducing the impact of HWC on both humans and wildlife by reducing the vulnerability of both, and through inclusive planning and implementation of all mitigation actions. Capacity development, structured experience and knowledge sharing, would be the foundation and continuing element of this holistic approach.

The HWC-NAP identifies the following 5 priority areas:

- Addressing the drivers and providing a conducive policy environment, through policy-making and cross-sectoral cooperation (Actions that halt or prevent the creation of new HWC situations or the escalation of existing ones).
- Effective use of instruments, traditional knowledge and modern technology, for preventing the incidents of conflict (Actions to contain, minimize or resolve existing problems).
- Putting in place a system to generate clear information and data on the status of conflicts and mitigation measures, to be able to make informed and evidence-based decisions and implement the most efficient mitigation measures.
- Reduction of impact of HWC on both humans and wildlife by using effective economic, communication and cooperation instruments (Actions that deal with the impact of any residual or unavoidable conflict incidents).
- Ensuring sustained availability of financial and human resources for effective implementation of the HWC-NAP, and to provide an institutional structure for implementation of the strategy and action plan at state and local levels, to ensure that the approach and elements of the national plan are fully operationalized. Improved exchanges on innovation in coexistence measures, and continuous capacity development of the field teams dealing with HWC situations, is essential for successfully mitigating HWC.

Participatory approach to plan, develop and implement HWC mitigation measures: Planning, development and implementation of HWC mitigation plans and measures, must involve key sectors and stakeholders at national, state and local levels. The process of stakeholder engagement should follow a systematic approach. This will ensure that stakeholders play an effective role in decision-making, and their views should be adequately reflected in the design and implementation of mitigation measures. The HWC mitigation plans and measures should be sensitive to the special needs of vulnerable sections such as rural and urban poor, and youth. The concerns of women should be specifically addressed. Efforts should focus on addressing the capacity development needs of key stakeholders, to facilitate their contributions into implementing HWC-NAP. This includes effective communication, collaboration and partnership between the forest department and other key sectors and stakeholders, strengthening science management linkages for HWC mitigation research, as well as effective communication between field officers and policymakers within the forest sector.

A blended bottom-up and top-down approach: All measures are implemented using a blended approach. This ensures that a fair combination of views and experiences from the field as well as advice from policy level informs the mitigation measures. Key issues and measures are discussed and consolidated at the field level, to gain an understanding of the problems, capacities and good practices. The issues and challenges are then analysed to develop a framework for Guidelines and plans. The framework is then elaborated by a group of coordinators and authors consisting of scientists, forest officers, veterinary
experts, and experts in capacity development, strategic planning and disaster management. Key stakeholders are then consulted for their feedback and inputs on the draft, before it is revised and placed for further detailed consultations with multi-stakeholder groups. The feedback and inputs coming from the entire process is used to revise the draft by the group of coordinators and authors.

Alignment with other processes and plans: Efforts will be made to align the HWC-NAP with other existing and ongoing strategies, plans and processes in the development and environment sector. Processes and systems will be established and/or further strengthened for forging linkages between these strategies, to enhance synergies and eliminate trade-offs.

3. THE NEED FOR DEVELOPING STATE HWC STRATEGY AND ACTION PLAN

HWC is becoming a development issue, affecting achievement of National Biodiversity Targets and Sustainable Development Goals, and several national and state efforts, focussing on poverty alleviation and sustainable livelihoods. This makes it important to ensure that there is coherence between the planning and actions at the national, state and local levels. Further, HWC mitigation requires a coordinated effort by key sectors and stakeholders. Unless addressed in a synergistic manner, HWC may seriously undermine the development gains achieved by these sectors. Hence there is need for developing state-level strategies aligned with the HWC-NAP, for effectively mitigating HWC in the country.

Effectiveness and sustainability of all HWC mitigation strategies and plans depend on successful involvement and commitment, at all levels of decision-making. While the union government through MoEFCC provides overall enabling policy and institutional environment, state-level authorities play a crucial role in addressing HWC at the field level. In this context, to operationalise the implementation of the HWC-NAP, it is imperative that the state governments develop State HWC Mitigation Strategy and Action Plans (HWC-SAP). The state plans will aim at:

1. Facilitating state governments in providing leadership and coordination of HWC mitigation efforts at division and local levels.
2. Facilitating consolidation, analysis and dissemination of state-specific data, information and knowledge on HWC, to support HWC mitigation planning.
3. Identifying and addressing capacity development needs for mitigating HWC in that state, in an effective and efficient manner, on a sustained basis.
4. Assessing the synergies and trade-offs of HWC mitigation with other development and environment plans, programmes and policies, and successful integration of HWC mitigation into state-level development and sectoral planning.
5. Appraising key HWC mitigation measures to prioritize the optimum measures for key scenarios, and support decision-making on effective and wildlife-friendly HWC mitigation.
6. Facilitating the monitoring, periodic review and updating of the HWC-SAP, to ensure effective implementation.
7. Effective experience and resource sharing among states: Since conflict mitigation is a continuous process, which needs to keep evolving as the animals adapt to certain mitigation measures rendering these ineffective over time, experience-sharing on the development and use of mitigation measures among different states becomes very important.
8. Contributing to the system of monitoring of HWC-NAP.

The five strategic priorities and 24 goals, along with a Supplementary Framework and Guidelines to the HWC-NAP, form the basis for further developing state-level HWC-SAPs in India. Goal 21 provides the background for developing HWC-SAP for every state and Union Territory (UT) in India.

HWC-SAPs will build on the existing mitigation policies and plans of the state government. Each HWC-SAP will take into consideration the ongoing programmes and schemes being implemented within that state, HWC-NAP, and provisions in the species-specific Guidelines. HWC-SAP will be able to achieve its purpose only when it is integrated into the state-level planning process. Only then can the resource allocation for the implementation of mitigation measures be defined, with an objective to achieve the overall development goals of the state governments, and synergies with key relevant departments can be enhanced and trade-offs minimized. This Supplementary Framework outlines the broad scope, as well as the requirements for the preparation of HWC-SAPs.
4. **THE NEED FOR A COORDINATED APPROACH AND COMMON FRAMEWORK FOR DEVELOPING STATE HWC STRATEGY AND ACTION PLAN**

Each state in India is currently managing the issue of HWC on its own. However, to ensure effectiveness and efficiency in the efforts and to ensure coherence at the national level, it is important to adopt a common generic framework for the preparation of each state’s HWC-SAP. Although some states have already initiated the process of preparing state strategies and plans, it is important to have a common understanding about the purpose and content of these plans. There is ample scope to incorporate unique regional or landscape-level conflict mitigation concerns into relevant state plans.

A coordinated approach is required to ensure uniformity in content, as well in the methodological steps, while preparing an HWC-SAP, specifically regarding the following aspects:

1. **Situation analysis using a holistic approach:** The conceptual framework used in the HWC-NAP may be used by each state to analyse the HWC situation at the state level, to understand key drivers and pressures of conflict, current state of conflict in terms of data and pattern, hotspots, impacts, and current responses. This will facilitate formulating a holistic response for mitigating HWC.

2. **Stakeholder participation in a more cohesive manner, linking national and state priorities:** This will require multi-level deliberations with various stakeholders, including non-forest government departments and agencies, private sector, research institutions, academia, NGOs and other civil society organisations. Some of these deliberations will need to be steered at the national level. The strategy and methodology of engagement with these stakeholders at the state level may need to be aligned with the national-level strategy and instruments.

3. **Implementation of the regional plans and inter-state coordination strategies:** This will require multi-state deliberations and agreements to find solutions for mitigation of conflicts, especially in case of long-ranging species, such as elephants and tigers. Aligning state strategies and plans of neighbouring/range states and also working groups of range states, effectively coordinated under a national forum, will provide for the most effective coordination instruments.

4. **Effective implementation of the species-specific Guidelines:** Species-specific Guidelines and Standard Operating Protocols (SOPs), which complement the HWC-NAP, provide the basic content for the states to design and implement their structures and processes, for effective implementation of mitigation measures.

5. **Structure of response teams and their competencies:** The Supplementary Framework under the HWC-NAP provide a basis for establishing and strengthening a three-tiered structure of response teams in the states. This will facilitate cross-learning between states, and alignment of capacity development measures across states.

6. **Financing mitigation measures:** Additional resources, as well as adjustments in the enabling environment, will be required to implement some of the mitigation measures. States may require support and guidance from the HWC-NAP to identify potential financial resources, and anchoring points to leverage from existing budget lines.

7. **Alignment of mitigation measures in the states with the species-specific Guidelines:** All the states will be operationalizing the Guidelines and SOPs developed for mitigating human conflict (wherever applicable) with elephants, leopards, wild pigs, snakes, rhesus macaques, blue bulls, crocodiles, black bears and sloth bears, blackbucks, gaur, media engagement, crowd control, medical emergencies, and occupational health and safety. A coordinated approach will be effective in collation of feedback and inputs, for revision and update of these Guidelines. Special interest working groups under the National HWC Mitigation Forum and the State HWC Mitigation Forum, respectively, will be instrumental in ensuring uniformity – with necessary customizations – in operationalization of the species-specific Guidelines at the state level.

In view of the above, it is appropriate that the HWC-SAPs are prepared according to a common and generic framework, under the overarching HWC-NAP. This will provide enough flexibility and anchoring points for incorporating state-specific contexts and situations.
5. **APPROACH AND PROCESS OF DEVELOPING THE STATE HWC STRATEGY AND ACTION PLAN:**

The HWC-SAP is to be planned, developed and implemented through a participatory planning process involving all major stakeholders, using both vertical and horizontal coordination approaches. This would include government officers from various line agencies, private sector, civil society, NGOs, research and scientists, policy makers, humans’s elected representatives, local communities and all those who have a stake in HWC mitigation. Field personnel of the forest department should be included in the entire process, to ensure vertical coordination. The plan should be sensitive to the special needs of vulnerable sections such as rural and urban poor, and youth. The concerns of women should be specifically addressed.

The HWC-SAP should take a ‘harmonious co-existence’ approach, ensuring that both humans and wildlife are protected from conflicts. This has to be achieved by maintaining a balance between the needs of humans and the conservation of nature. All efforts must be made to ensure that the mitigation measures are developed, assessed, customized and evaluated through site-specific HWC mitigation instruments that are effective and wildlife-friendly.

The HWC-SAP is to also act as a capacity development instrument. Identifying and addressing the capacity development needs of key stakeholders, to facilitate their contributions into implementing the HWC-SAP, should be central to the process.

To ensure that the HWC-SAP is owned by the state government and its subjects, its alignment with other state-specific processes and plans is critical.

The goal of this process is to develop the HWC-SAP document, including modalities for its implementation, monitoring and updating. However, various steps involved in the process will also facilitate as anchors for supplementary strategies in key areas that are required to support effective implementation of the plan itself, viz strategies for capacity development and communication.

### 5.1. Institutional structure to develop and implement the HWC-SAP:

At state/UT level, planning, review and monitoring of HWC mitigation measures will be overseen by a state-level Co-ordination Committee (SLCC) with Chief Secretary as chair and Chief Wildlife Warden (CWLW) as the member secretary. The committee, among other tasks, will work towards alignment of HWC mitigation plans with the relevant plans and programmes of other sectors and departments; as well as make policy recommendations for strengthening the institutional, human and financial capacity of the system to implement HWC-NAP and HWC-SAP at state and local level.

A state **HWC Mitigation Forum** will be set up, chaired by CWLW, to facilitate a broad-based dialogue among key stakeholders and discuss issues that may be beyond the scope of SLCC. The state Forum will facilitate the development, periodic update and implementation of HWC-SAP and implementation of Guidelines.

Each state will establish **HWC Mitigation Task Force**, under the HWC Mitigation Forum, to develop and periodically update their HWC-SAPs. The state coordination mechanism will comprise of -

- **A state Steering and Advisory Group:** The HWC-SAPs will be developed for overall steering by the highest decision-making authority at the state level possible, e.g., Chief Secretary/Principal Secretary for Environment and Forests. The role of the steering and advisory group would be to provide overall guidance, validate the final document, to facilitate its mainstreaming into the overall development planning, through inter-department and inter-agency coordination, for effective implementation of the plan.

- **A state-level Technical Group (STG) for developing the state HWC Mitigation Strategy and Action Plan:** This group, chaired by the Principal Chief Conservator of Forest – Head of Forest Force (PCCF HoFF) of the state, will have nodal representatives from the State Forest Department (SFD), as well as representatives from other key line departments identified by the state through the stakeholder mapping process,
research institutions, civil society organizations and individual wildlife experts, as members of the core team. STG will thus be a mix of scientists, managers (forest officers with HWC experience), civil society institutions, and strategic planning/project management experts. All this ensure that the HWC-SAP takes a scientific approach, is feasible for field implementation, and uses an effective framework for implementation and monitoring results.

The STG will work in close consultation with the National HWC Mitigation Forum steered by the MoEFCC, on the process and products, to ensure that the HWC-SAP is in alignment with the HWC-NAP.

Experts will play specialized roles in the drafting and editing process, viz. Coordinating Lead Authors, Lead Authors, and Review Editors (Annex 1).

### 5.2. Key steps and elements involved in the process:

**Assessment surveys and synthesis to use existing information and data for developing the outline of the state Strategy and Action Plan:** The purpose is, to compile the existing information and data of key issues, to conduct an overall situation analysis, and to develop synthesis reports for key issue areas, including:

- Situation analysis of HWC in the state, including basic information on numbers and distribution of key species in conflict
- Stakeholder mapping and analysis, with special emphasis on inclusion and gender considerations
- Synthesis and analysis of existing law, policies, rules, notifications, plans and programmes for wildlife as well as for agriculture, disaster management, police, rural development and other key departments relevant to HWC in the state
- Synthesis and analysis of data for identification of the drivers and pressures of HWC in the state, taking into account differences among different landscapes
- Basic information on the conflict statistics in the state
- Understanding the dynamics and factors behind conflicts, using a mix of methodologies

**The sub-zero draft of the HWC-SAP can be developed** with the synthesis of the above information. Write-shops for the author groups can be organized to facilitate the process.

A clear communication strategy can be developed at this stage for engaging key sectors and stakeholders in the process, as part of Step 2.

**Stakeholder meetings can be organized at the field level, followed by workshops at the state and regional levels,** to prioritize mitigation strategies and actions: Engagement with key sectors and stakeholders, including, but not limited to forest officers, local communities, media, and government departments other than SFD, private sector (the list will come from the stakeholder mapping and analysis of Step 1).

Methodology for consultation can be meetings, dialogue and bilateral discussions, workshops, questionnaires and other web-based survey methods, open meetings or any other methods that can be effective in bringing in full participation from key stakeholders. The communication material and channels to engage key stakeholders should be institutionalised in a strategic manner.

Workshops and consultation meetings can be conducted at the field level to interact with farmers, rural communities, and local institutions. A thematic focus should be kept for each location, viz., focussing on human-elephant conflict in a landscape dominated by elephant conflict, or human-leopard conflict in a location with high leopard conflict. The focus of consultations should be chosen to ensure that optimum participation and inputs are achieved.

Separate as well as joint dialogues organized with policymakers from key government departments (including defence and paramilitary establishments, where applicable), private sector and industries, key line departments directly relevant to HWC mitigation in the state such as railways, rural development, agriculture, etc, civil society organizations, and research and academic institutions, and experts. Capacity needs assessments can be conducted at this stage, during all events, to understand the capacity gaps likely to create hurdles in effective implementation of the plan.

Stakeholder consultations should ideally result in prioritized mitigation strategies and actions for different landscapes in the state, role of key actors, financial and institutional requirements for implementing prioritized strategies and actions, and list of identified capacity gaps.
Consultation meetings of the author group should be organized with the National HWC Mitigation Forum, along with a larger group of experts on wildlife social sciences, economics, agriculture, and other relevant experts for further strengthening the technical contents of the plan. The core group of authors and coordinators should conduct separate meetings and workshops/consultations with the subject matter experts strategic planning experts, monitoring and evaluation experts, to receive technical inputs and align the plan with global and national good practices. At this stage, the core group should also compile the capacity needs assessment report, for implementation of the plan in the state.

Final drafting of the HWC-SAP by the group of authors: The group of authors shall consider all the discussions and inputs from the meetings, workshops and consultation, incorporate these into the HWC-SAP document, and develop the zero draft of the document, complete with monitoring and evaluation plan.

Development of the Operational Plan and a road map for effective and sustainable implementation of HWC-SAP: SFD shall steer the process of developing a concrete Operational Plan and a road map, by establishing an institutional framework in line with the HWC-NAP, to oversee the implementation phase of the Operational Plan, establishing a monitoring and evaluation plan, and identifying anchoring points for mainstreaming the HWC-SAP into the state-level planning.
6. RECOMMENDED OUTLINE OF THE HWC-SAP: A COMMON FRAMEWORK

1.1. Chapter 1: Introduction

1.2. Chapter 2: Situation analysis in <STATE>
An overview of the historical and current situation of HWC and mitigation measures in the state, on the following lines:

Patterns and extent of HWC in <State>
(Status of HWC, key data, patterns, species, humans’s perceptions, hotspots)

Drivers and Pressures of HWC in <State>
(An overview of the key drivers and pressures of HWC in the state).

Impact of HWC in <State>
(An overview of the key impacts on humans and wildlife)

Key Stakeholders in <State>
Results of HWC-related stakeholder mapping and analysis in the state)

Existing response mechanism for HWC mitigation in <State>
(An overview of the existing Guidelines, instruments, training and other measures being implemented in the state for mitigating HWC)

1.3. Chapter 3: Guiding Principles, Overall Goal and Approach to mitigating HWC in <State>

Purpose

Guiding Principles

Overall Goal

Approach

Alignment
(A detailed description of the plans and processes in the development and environment sector programmes that need to be aligned with the HWC-SAP and vice-versa. The list includes existing and ongoing strategies, plans and processes in the development and environment sector, SDG goals, other development goals and targets that the state has pledged, and measures for forging linkages between these, for enhancing synergies and eliminating trade-offs)

Process of developing the HWC-SAP
(In this section, the process as followed, will be detailed out, along with the timeline)

1.4. Chapter 4: Strategic Plan for HWC Mitigation in <State>

STRATEGIC PRIORITY A: ADDRESSING THE KEY DRIVERS OF HUMAN-WILDLIFE CONFLICT IN THE STATE

Strategic Goal 1: HWC mitigation is integrated into overall development planning as well as programmes, plans, policies and legislation of key relevant sectors
(Under this goal, states should prioritize key sectors relevant for HWC mitigation in the state analyse their processes and plan for identifying anchoring points for integrating HWC mitigation into the plans and programmes of these key priority sectors. Special consideration should be given to integrating HWC mitigation into the SDG plans, climate change and disaster Management Plan of the states)

Strategic Goal 2: All development activities are sensitive to potential human wildlife conflicts
(Under this goal, states should identify key areas that are vulnerable from HWC viewpoint, and where additional development projects may accentuate the problem. States should list methods and processes that will be implemented, to ensure comprehensive spatial planning and other relevant information is available for appropriate assessment of HWC impact of development projects)

Strategic Goal 3: Comprehensive and integrated land use planning ensures that wildlife habitats are protected from loss, fragmentation and degradation
(Under this goal, states should consider setting up a process and framework for land-use change analysis to be conducted in cooperation with key sectors and stakeholders, with regard to its impact on HWC; elaborate institutional framework for setting up joint working groups, with representation from SFD and other key departments, to facilitate a dialogue to review land-use change monitoring, its impact on HWC and agree on possible recommendations and/or solutions, and plan towards carrying-capacity assessment of HWC hotspots with integrated climate change impact models)
Strategic Goal 4: Humans are enabled in working on their risk perception, improving their tolerance and enabling co-existence with wildlife in the same landscape

(Under this goal, states should consider the development of a clear communication strategy in line with the national communication strategy, and establishment of a multi-disciplinary Working Group on communication under the state HWC Coordination Committee / HWC Mitigation Forum, for implementing the communication strategy at state level. States should consider integrating awareness and understanding of wildlife behaviour and ecosystem services it provides, into state-level educational curricula, and intensive dissemination of information on animal behaviour using innovative and effective communication tools. Long-term engagement with the media as a strategic partner in raising awareness among humans on wild animal behaviour and on mitigation measures should be considered in line with the Guidelines for Forest-Media Cooperation States should plan setting up helplines to facilitate humans during emergencies at HWC hotspots)

STRATEGIC PRIORITY B: REDUCING THE DIRECT PRESSURES THAT LEAD TO CONFLICT SITUATION

Strategic Goal 5: Critical wildlife corridors, migratory routes, and movement paths of key wildlife species in conflict are identified, assessed and secured

(Under this goal, states should consider the mapping of all wildlife corridors for key long-ranging wildlife species on a GIS platform linked to the National Database, and designate agencies and institutions for regular monitoring and reporting of the prioritized corridors. Wildlife corridor Management Plans can be planned on a model basis, that are in line with the division-level HWC Management Action Plans (HWC-MAP). Use of instruments such as memorandum of understanding with local communities, land acquisition/procuring of land by NGOs, and other innovative mechanisms can be elaborated to acquire the community-owned/private lands, to secure critical corridors. There should also be a provision of constituting Conservation Reserves and Community Reserves in the corridors, and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) funds/partnerships can be utilised for securing land in corridors)

Strategic Goal 6: Livelihood dependence of humans on protected areas, corridors, forests and other natural habitats is reduced

(Under this goal, the state should consider measures, through inter-departmental coordination, for creating alternative livelihood opportunities through poverty alleviation programs such as MGNREGA, support to Self Help Groups (SHGs), micro-finance schemes, etc., to enhance incomes and reduce dependence on forests, further strengthen the institutions such as Joint Forest Management Committees (JFMC)/Community Forest Management (CFM), Vana Panchayat (VP) and Eco-Development Committee (EDC), and facilitate their greater support in maintaining and monitoring mitigation measures such as maintenance work of fences, trenches, alternate crop plantation, crop guarding etc., skill development training to youth in the villages around conflict hotspots to enable these forest-dependent populations find alternate livelihood options. Improved agricultural and animal husbandry practices, facilitation of improved processing, value addition and direct marketing for NTFP products will enhance incomes of forest-dependent communities. Promotion of alternate fuel sources, instead of fuelwood, should also be encouraged)

Strategic Goal 7: Incidences of wild animals entering or co-occurring in human-dominated areas are reduced, by applying, inter alia technological innovations, effective and wildlife-friendly preventive measures.

(The overall strategy should be to use a systematic way of assessing the population of key species, and to set up an early warning and rapid response system, with continuous capacity development of the teams and monitoring of their effectiveness. State-wise planning for establishing barriers should be planned, taking a landscape approach, to stop incidents of wildlife entering human-use areas, and at the same time ensuring that the barriers are not creating new situations of HWC elsewhere in the landscape by hindering the movement of long-ranging species. Design and sustainable maintenance plan of the barriers should be central to the discussions. In the remaining cases, the early warning and rapid response system must be used to make quick decisions, and the local community should be engaged via awareness measures and formation of primary response teams, so that they do not harm the wild animal and let...
it go back on its own. In a scenario where the damage is inevitable, humans are provided effective compensation and *ex-gratia* payments for the damages caused during a conflict. In case the wild animal does not go back on its own, the response teams drive it in a safe way or rescue it, if required. All strategies on use of barriers need to reiterate that barriers are not seen as a stand-alone mitigation measure. Crop and livestock guarding measures, suitable for state-specific conditions, should be explored and discussed. Implementer’s Toolkits should be used for developing this section. It is important that states draw a clear plan to engage the local community in implementing the mitigation measures to ensure sustainability.

This section should provide details on the division-wise ‘Early Warning and Rapid Response System’, where early warning system using new technology and community participation, and a three-tiered system of response teams is to be established at each forest division in line with the *Supplementary Framework for Establishment and Capacity Development of HWC Mitigation Response Teams of the HWC-NAP*.

States may assess the linkages of HWC with poaching and fire, to further develop measures for mitigation.

**Strategic Goal 8:** Efficient and effective response teams are developed in each forest division and protected areas at the HWC hotspots in India (Since it is impossible to prevent wildlife and humans from using the same space, the role of response teams for timely action to prevent the conflicts and to reduce the impacts due to such incidents, will remain one of the important foundation blocks of the HWC mitigation strategy in India for years to come. This section will elaborate the detailed operational plan of establishment and management of a three-tiered system of response teams at each forest division in the state, in line with the Guidelines provided in Goal 8 of HWC-NAP. A detailed annex of GIS maps indicating the area of operation and locations of each response team may be included)

**Strategic Goal 9:** Wildlife Habitat within protected areas and forests is restored (Under this goal, states will discuss measures for identification of impact pathways of invasive species on HWC in different landscapes, mapping of invasive species at the HWC hotspots, and possibility of implementation of invasive species management as an activity under MGNREGA scheme. In this section, states can provide existing and new plans for restoring wildlife habitat within protected areas and forest lands in the states)

**Strategic Goal 10:** Sustainable waste management and HWC-safe food storage in and around protected areas, and at HWC hotspots (Under this goal, states may consider planning for long-term studies, in cooperation with local universities and institutions, on understanding animal behaviour in relation to food waste, measures for enhancing awareness among local community and residents on waste management practices, and measures to establish and enhance cooperation with local municipalities and panchayats on waste management. States should explore the possibility of engaging local organizations for steering citizen cleanliness drives and installation of specialized waste bins that cannot be opened by wild herbivores, around protected areas and conflict hotspots)

**STRATEGIC PRIORITY C: MAKING AVAILABLE INFORMATION AND DATA ON HWC TO DECISION MAKERS AND FIELD RESPONSE TEAMS, FOR EFFECTIVE MITIGATION**

**Strategic Goal 11:** Wildlife research in the country addresses critical issues related to HWC mitigation (In this section, states should prioritize their research needs, with the participation of field practitioners, to facilitate the national and state-level wildlife research institutions to take up research on these critical issues. A key research priority may be population estimation and dynamics of key species involved in HWC. States may develop a plan for facilitating capacity development of forest department personnel to enable them for regular monitoring and basic
research on animal distribution, conflict distribution and effectiveness of barriers. Exploring the possibility of engagement with local universities and institutions located in the landscape, for long-term monitoring and research leading to the development of a sustainable and scientific knowledge base. Feasibility of conducting studies on immuno-contraception of selected species needs to be assessed.

Strategic Goal 12: Effective and wildlife-friendly and evidence-based HWC mitigation measures are designed, implemented and customized for site specific needs

(Under this goal, states should plan measures for collecting and sharing data on the effectiveness of mitigation measures being implemented in that state, using a standard criterion, and with participation of key stakeholders, at regular intervals)

Strategic Goal 13: A national database facilitates assessment and monitoring of HWC situation and formulation of effective HWC mitigation measures in the country

(Under this goal, states should define measures for collecting and sharing data on the National Database platform, using a standardized database format. States should plan for measures to use the data for mitigation of HWC, such as the use of conflict hotspots maps and their updating, decision-making at state level and by the Rapid Response Teams (RRTs), plans to train the personnel on use of mobile applications, and enabling the field personnel to identify data gaps that need to be filled to enhance their efficiency and effectiveness in the field)

Strategic Goal 14: States, agencies and other stakeholders systematically share data, information, experiences and knowledge with each other to co-create long-term solutions on HWC mitigation

(Creation and management of a database and documentation on good practices is only the first step. Policymakers, multi-disciplinary experts and practitioners from the SFD and other key relevant sectors would need to continuously exchange information on trends, challenges and good practices, with the aim to learn from each other and to find innovative solutions together. Under this goal, states will operationalize their access and contribution to the online portal on one hand, and develop measures to motivate field practitioners – especially the field response teams – to share their experiences and knowledge).

Strategic Priority D: Reducing the Negative Impacts of HWC on Humans and Wildlife

Strategic Goal 15: Reduced vulnerability of humans

(Under this goal, the states should identify measures to facilitate in the development of crops and cropping systems that are economically viable less attractive to wild animals and are suitable for local climatic and edaphic conditions, and the possibility of use of MGNREGA scheme to support the farmers in crop protection and setting up barriers, development of water bodies and fruit trees at the boundary of protected areas and forests. The focus should be on the use of economic instruments such as compensation/ex-gratia, crop and livestock insurance schemes, incentives for crop guarding, performance payments for adapting innovative cropping and animal husbandry practices, and wildlife-friendly farming strategies and actions. The specific issue of provision of compensation for crop damage due to HWC under Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana, can be developed after due consultation in the state with community representatives and experts. This section should also be used to detail the measures for the relocation of settlements, and for enhancing livelihood opportunities: providing economic opportunities wherever possible to reduce humans’s dependence on local resources, reducing existing anthropogenic pressure, and for community-based wildlife tourism/community conservancies, outside the protected areas)

Strategic Goal 16: Reduced vulnerability and enhanced occupational health and safety of field teams responsible for HWC mitigation

(Under this goal, states should plan the steps to be taken to address potential risks to personal safety, and to reduce such hazards. The Guidelines on occupational health and safety form the basis of planning measures under this goal, including ensuring protective gear for all members of the response teams and other field staff engaged in mitigation operations, health insurance scheme for all the field staff engaged in HWC mitigation measures, including all members of the response teams, life insurance for all members, permanent staff and daily wage workers of the response teams, and mandatory safety training to all members of the response teams and other staff engaged in mitigation operations)
Goal 17: Reduced vulnerability of wild animals through animal welfare measures
(Under this goal, states should plan the steps to be taken to address potential risks to animal safety, and to reduce such hazards. This goal should be elaborated in close consultation with veterinary experts, wildlife biologists, animal welfare experts and organizations)

Goal 18: Most vulnerable sections of the society such as economically weaker groups, youth and women participate in planning, development and implementation of mitigation measures
(Stakeholder mapping and analysis at state level must be conducted before writing this section. Measures should be put in place, as part of this goal, to conduct stakeholder mapping and analysis in each division, which should be updated at regular intervals, and models for stakeholder engagement should be confirmed in each plan on HWC mitigation. For ensuring the inclusive approach, it is recommended to constitute “Primary Response Teams (Community PRT) at village level” as part of the overall three-tiered system of response teams. Gender sensitization and designing instruments related to the needs of women, collecting the relevant gender disaggregated data and analysis must be prioritised)

STRATEGIC PRIORITY E: EFFECTIVELY IMPLEMENTING THE NATIONAL, STATE AND LOCAL-LEVEL HWC MITIGATION PLANS, BY STRENGTHENING FINANCIAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURES

Strategic Goal 19: Institutional capacities in forest and other key relevant sectors are strengthened, for effective implementation of HWC mitigation in the country
(Specific measures to integrate HWC mitigation in all state training institutions, regular training of trainers at all such institutions covering the thematic topic of HWC and participatory training methodology, use of e-Learning and m-Learning courses, and measures to facilitate participation of state-level officers and trainers in institutional networking among forest and other sectors’ training institutions, for implementation of impactful trainings sustainably.

To achieve this goal, states should consider creating a cadre of veterinary officers within the SFD, integration of HWC topic into the regular curricula of state-level training institutions of agriculture, veterinary, rural development and tribal development, offering internships for the wildlife-veterinary students at selected divisions, facilitating a pool of trainers drawn from the forest, agriculture, rural development sectors at state/division level, to ensure sustained training for the primary response teams and panchayat members, implementing joint training of forest staff with other key stakeholders, and facilitating faculty exchange between forest and other sector training institutions.

States should develop and implement capacity development strategy regarding HWC mitigation in the state, ensuring a selected pool of wildlife experts within the forest department to work in HWC hotspots in each state. Plan for making provision for inclusion of non-forest service experts to cover specific issue areas, plan to contribute in the “Working Group on Capacity Development” under the National HWC Mitigation Forum)

Strategic Goal 20: Individual competencies in forest and other key relevant sectors, including frontline staff, mahouts and daily wage workers are strengthened
(Specific measures to motivate forest staff and other practitioners to help improve overall performance and outputs in the HWC mitigation should be put in place. There should be a system of assessing training needs based on the competency framework, ensuring availability and use of the standardized curriculum on HWC mitigation in local languages.

States should plan measures for conducting structured training for mahouts in local languages, strengthen mahout training schools within identified forest camps, and institutionalize a system of a license/certification for all mahouts. States with long-standing experiences in mahout trainings, existing mahout training institutions should offer training to mahouts of other states. Mahouts can be provided with hardship allowance, accident insurance and bonus for well-kept and healthy elephants)

Strategic Goal 21: State-level HWC Mitigation Strategy and Action Plans (HWC-SAP) are developed, implemented and regularly updated in all states and Union Territories of India, taking a landscape approach.
(Implementation of HWC-SAP, in line with the approach of the HWC-NAP, establishment of a State HWC Mitigation Forum, will be facilitated by the National HWC Mitigation Forum, responsible for monitoring and revision of HWC-SAP, participation in Regional Forum, facilitated by the National HWC Mitigation Forum, for neighbouring states in the same landscape, and systems for updating the plan every five years. State-level multi-stakeholder
forum, facilitated by the state HWC Mitigation Task Force, should institutionalize the mechanism for incorporation of feedback from key stakeholders (horizontal alignment), participation in the Working Group of Chief Wildlife Wardens under the National HWC Mitigation Forum, on experience-sharing towards implementing the HWC-SAPs using a common framework and approach (vertical alignment), consolidation of work related to HWC mitigation being implemented by various units and agencies in the state.

Strategic Goal 22: Division-level HWC Management Action Plans (HWC-MAP) in all states and UTs of India are developed, implemented and regularly updated

(States will elaborate the detailed strategy to develop and implement division-level HWC Management Action Plans (HWC-MAP), taking a landscape approach, developed and implemented in all forest divisions within the states. A multi-stakeholder forum at the landscape level, anchored at the Chief Conservator of Forest (CCF) level, would steer the landscape-level planning and provide feedback for implementing the HWC HWC-MAPs. The states can also consider implementing citizen science programmes to strengthen science-management linkages at the local level)

Strategic Goal 23: Finance and infrastructure is available for implementing national, state and divisional plans.

(States will elaborate plans for establishment of well-equipped HWC Mitigation Hubs in each territorial forest division in India, support to state-level forest and wildlife training institution, to establish a well-equipped HWC Imitation simulation lab, where the participants can get hands-on training on key mitigation operational processes (such labs should also be made available to the RRTs for their training), establishment of well-equipped animal health monitoring facilities in each HWC hotspot, and if required, establishment of animal safari, to act as post-capture facilities. States should identify new financing sources and align the state measures and goals with the financial resources available for SDG and climate change processes relevant to HWC mitigation)

Strategic Goal 24: Measures are in place for fostering partnerships between key stakeholders for sustainability and greater outreach in HWC mitigation efforts

(States should identify key private and public sector organizations and companies, via the stakeholder mapping exercise, and develop measures to engage with the selected ones, to institutionalize mechanisms and instruments for promoting biodiversity-friendly production and commercialisation, plan for engagement with public and private conservation organizations for working towards specific goals and contribute to reporting of indicators. A system should be developed to provide technical advice and support to the private sector to develop and adopt innovative wildlife-based livelihood practices for enhancing the appreciation of wildlife among the rural poor and vulnerable section at the HWC hotspots)

Chapter 5: Action Plan for HWC Mitigation in <State>

(as per the strategic plans in the previous section; and taking the expected results and achievements from HWC-NAP as the starting point; goals and interventions to be prioritized for different timeframes, viz. long-term, medium-term and short-term goals)

List of measures (short- and long-term) needed to implement the strategies listed in the previous section, including timeframe and sequence for implementation

List of ongoing and planned initiatives (who is doing what? Where? how much is allocated?)

For each action, identification of budget line and cost of implementation

List of responsible agency/unit for each action, their existing capacities (link the capacity development plan with the previous section)

Chapter 6: Monitoring, review and updating the plans

(Indicators to be defined here, taking the indicator framework from HWC-NAP as the starting point.)

Assess progress, effectiveness, and capacity gaps

Plan and process for updating the HWC-SAP

Monitor the process of development of HWC-SAP

Chapter 7: Communication plan
Annex 1:
COORDINATORS AND EXPERTS FOR DEVELOPING HWC–SAP

TERM OF REFERENCE:

Representatives from government agencies, State Forest Departments, research institutions, NGOs, international organizations, and individual wildlife experts have been identified as members of the core team.

The experts are a mix of scientists, managers (forest officers dealing/having dealt with HWC) and civil society institutions, to ensure that the State Strategy and Action Plan is balanced.

The experts will play different roles in the drafting and editing process, viz. Coordinating Lead Authors, Lead Authors, and Review Editors. Detailed terms of reference of each of these categories is provided in the section below:

COORDINATING LEAD AUTHORS (CLA)

- CLAs will be responsible for the overall steering of the writing work, based on the existing outline and approach of the documents. Key task of the CLAs is to ensure that the zero draft is developed within the given timeframe and follows the agreed approach and quality. CLAs will coordinate forward with the Lead Authors and Contributing Authors to receive their inputs and will coordinate back with the State-level HWC Mitigation Forum, who will further coordinate with the National HWC Mitigation Forum/MoEFCC.
- CLAs will have the overall responsibility of coordinating and drafting the document, using the outline and approach, within the agreed timeline. CLAs will be responsible for actively coordinating and following up with the Lead Authors and the support group, for receiving their inputs in time and for ensuring quality of their inputs.
- CLAs will be responsible for ensuring that all the Lead Authors adhere to the approach and contribute as per the terms of reference. CLAs will be responsible to bring to the attention of the state-level HWC Mitigation Forum, in case they are not able to engage any Lead Author, for any given reason.
- CLAs will be responsible for identifying any specific issues that may delay the drafting/diminish the quality of the document and advise the State-level HWC Mitigation Forum on the possible solutions required to rectify the issue.
- CLAs will be responsible to participate in the fortnightly coordination meetings with the State-level HWC Mitigation Forum (telephonic via video conferencing /face-to-face).
- CLAs will be responsible for planning and moderate the writing workshops/meetings of authors, as and when required, for timely completion of the documents.
- CLAs will be responsible for compiling the data/information gaps received from the LAs, and identifying the experts/agencies to conduct surveys for filling the data/information gaps, in a timely manner.

LEAD AUTHORS (LA)

- LAs will work on different sections of the documents, in line with the agreed approach and timelines. LAs will work in close coordination with the CLAs, and key officials and experts listed under “Contributing Authors”.
- LAs will be responsible for writing a major section of their respective document, as identified, and agreed with the CLAs.

CONTRIBUTING AUTHORS (CA)

- Contributing Authors is the group of experts providing the necessary guidance to and sharing crucial information and field experiences with the lead authors, during the entire process of the development and field-testing of the documents.

REVIEW EDITORS (RE)

- REs will be responsible for ensuring that the CLAs and LAs follow the agreed outline and approach in drafting the HWC-NAP, and that they incorporate the feedback received from the field-testing of the HWC-NAP, appropriately in the final draft.

National HWC Mitigation Forum/MoEFCC

- The Forum will be responsible for the overall steering and facilitating the process of drafting, field testing, stakeholder consultations and finalization of the HWC-NAP, state-level HWC-SAPs, and Guidelines.
- The main function of the Forum will be to ensure that all the plans and procedures are being developed using the common agreed approach as indicated in this document.
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1. STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

The National Wildlife Action Plan (NWAP) 2017-2031 mandates each territorial division to develop and implement a Human-Wildlife Conflict Management Action Plan (HWC-MAP), for systematically addressing human wildlife conflict (HWC) mitigation.

HWC-MAP facilitates bottom-up feedback to the state and national-level strategies and action plans, on good practices in HWC mitigation. This integration of field knowledge and experiences into the national and state-level plans will ensure that the approach taken by the national and state Governments is reflected in the local-level planning and implementation.

To operationalize the holistic and participatory approach, and to integrate the processes and protocols of the National HWC Mitigation Strategy and Action Plan, HWC-SAP and species-specific Guidelines, it is necessary that an anchoring plan – HWC Management Action Plan (HWC-MAP) – is developed at the forest division level.

Since all operational planning related to wildlife management is done at the division level, it is critical to integrate HWC mitigation into the division-level plans. However, since most of the large wildlife species-in-conflict use large areas at the landscape level, it is important that the plans take into consideration factors related to conflict at the landscape level. The HWC-MAP also provides anchoring points and instruments for cooperative planning and implementation between several forest divisions within the same landscape.

This Plan provides the Divisional Forest Officer (DFO) with a holistic approach and required instruments towards implementing HWC mitigation measures in a consolidated manner. This will facilitate in enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of HWC mitigation measures being implemented within the division.

The divisions, which develop and implement the HWC-MAP on a pilot basis, will serve as model divisions for replicating efficient and effective HWC mitigation not only in other divisions within the state, but also in other states in India.

2. RECOMMENDED PROCESS FOR DEVELOPING HWC-MAPS

STEP 1: Baseline process and desk review

STEP 2: Developing the draft action plan

STEP 3: Consultation with field-level officers and experts / Consultation with local communities / Consultation with other sectors

STEP 4: Revisions based on the feedback

STEP 5: Alignment of the HWC-MAP with other similar processes and documents, for e.g., National HWC Mitigation Strategy and Action Plan (HWC-NAP), state Human-Wildlife Conflict Strategy and Action Plan (HWC-SAP), species- and issue-specific Guidelines and Standard Operating Protocols (SOPs), Working Plan of division, and overall Strategic Plan of the state

To support in implementation of this Plan, an “Implementor’s toolkit” will be developed.

The Toolkit will also include details on the development of HWC-MAP, to facilitate divisions in developing and implementing these plans.
3. OUTLINE OF THE HWC MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN

Section 1: Guiding principles and expected outcomes

1.1. Purpose and objectives
1.2. Approach

Section 2: HWC Profile of the Division

2.1. Snapshot of the forest division - Key features of the landscape, wildlife and humans in the division
2.2. Background information and attributes of the landscape

[In this section, specific information and maps of the landscape features, biodiversity, and humans in relation to HWC, is to be presented in a usable digital format for analysis. This information and analysis will serve as the basis for further detailing the strategy for designing HWC mitigation measures for the division and adjoining landscape]

2.3. Humans, Culture and Livelihood

[Brief socio-economic synthesis; livelihood pattern; resource dependency; resource dependency assessment, how different line departments can facilitate to reduce resource dependency on forests and vulnerability of local communities in high-conflict areas/representative villages; synergies and trade-off among various land-use/livelihood patterns]

2.4. Threats, Issues and Problems (DPSIR framework)

2.5. Risk of conflict

[HWC Hotspots]

Section 3: Management Actions for effective and efficient HWC Mitigation

3.1. Monitoring the drivers and pressures of HWC in the division

Wildlife population assessment; anti-poaching measures; fire management; invasive species management; waste management around all HWC hotspots; measures to ensure safe sanitation at all HWC Hotspots; enabling humans to work on their risk perception and co-existence with wildlife within the same landscape.

Reducing HWC impacts on humans and wildlife, by adapting innovative cropping and animal husbandry practices; inclusive implementation of the plans.

Crop- and livestock insurance schemes; community-based wildlife tourism/community conservancies outside the protected areas; relocation of settlements/managing the relocated settlements; enhancing livelihood opportunities.

A system of assessing the effectiveness of mitigation measures; identifying conflict hotspots and maintaining all records at HWC Mitigation Hubs]

3.2. Prevention measures

[Early Warning and Rapid Response System – institutional structure and responsibilities; infrastructure development and provision of modern equipment for each team; occupational health and safety for the members of rescue teams.

Area of operation of Response Teams; role and responsibility of HWC Mitigation Hub; composition, role and responsibilities of the various Response Teams key elements of the capacity development approach for Response Teams.

Use of barriers (fences, ditches, canals, electric fences, solar fences, etc.) while keeping the landscape and animal’s biology in mind.

Measures to strengthen cross-sector cooperation.

Inter-state dialogue, to understand the issues better and seek cooperation for mitigation of HWC.

Landscape-level HWC Mitigation Planning: studies and dialogue on possible measures for strengthening corridors, between pilot site and adjoining landscape]

3.3. Emergency response preparedness in the division

[Key elements and success factors for effective and efficient emergency response – role and responsibilities of response teams/personnel

Processes, Job Aids

Type, level and nature of emergency (framework for developing gradient matrix for responding to emergency situations); tasks involved in emergency response; emergency response teams up-to division level]
Emergency Response Mechanism:
- Receiving and channelizing the information.
- Detection of an incident/early warning signal.
- First responder/Incident Response Person (IRP):
  - The IRP informs the control room, who in turn activates the Range Rapid Response Team (Range RRT).
  - Range RRT instructs the IRP to engage with the village Primary Response Team (Community PRT).
- Activation of designated response teams.

General process:
Activation of decision-making/support processes; equipment; Occupational Safety and Health (Rapid Response Team/Primary Response Team Safety); activation of inter-department/departmental support teams at the division-level – which team? how to activate? area command/unified command, (HWC Mitigation Hub/Control Room + DFO + Chief Wildlife Warden CWLW decision-making tree

Logistic Considerations:
Deployment of intra- and inter-agency Emergency Response Teams (Human Resources); Area of operation of designated Response Teams; Physical location of designated Response Teams (Node); earmarking of staging areas; modes of transport for Response Teams; Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and personal communication devices of responders; boarding and lodging of Response Teams; requisition/attachment of specialists/experts with Response Teams (veterinary, elephants + mahouts, trackers, darter (from forest department); deployment of material resources (Equipment)

Typology of Material Resources
- Checklist of available/authorized equipment with each designate response team
- Pre-positioning of material resources (equipment, medical/veterinary supplies and others)
- Storage of equipment, drugs and medical/veterinary supplies
- Mode of transport for the material resources

Emergency Communication
- (Internal – intra-agency; external – inter-agency
  - First Aid/medical/veterinary interventions: dealing with medical emergencies arising due to mass casualties;
  - First aid to orphaned young ones of species in the aftermath of an emergency incident; Transport of animals to veterinary centres

Engaging Humans before/during and after Emergency situation
- Crowd control and management procedures; media engagement
- Stepwise emergency response procedure (Matrix: up to 72 hours)

3.4. Reduction of impact on humans and animals (wildlife)
- Ex-gratia and compensation mechanism that is fair, transparent and provides sustainable livelihood]

Section 4: Operational Plan
Section 5: Resource Planning

[Infrastructure and human resources for implementing HWC-MAP.

Fostering partnerships.

Financial mechanism for implementing the plan in the most effective manner.

Consolidation of work related to HWC mitigation currently being implemented by various agencies in this landscape]

Section 6: Monitoring and Evaluation

7.1. Monitoring Progress: indicators and milestones to provide status updates

7.2. Updating and revising the Plan HWC-MAP
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1. CONTEXT AND OVERVIEW

Since it is impossible to prevent the wildlife and humans from using the same space, the role of response teams for timely action to prevent the conflicts and to reduce the impacts due to such incidents, will remain one of the important foundation blocks of the human-wildlife conflict (HWC) mitigation strategy in India.

The types of response teams, their function, roles, composition, and competencies-development strategies will be standardized across all states in the country via the National HWC Mitigation Strategy and Action Plan (HWC-NAP). This is to facilitate effective policy support and for overall assessment and monitoring purpose.

A tiered system of response teams will be established in all forest divisions across the country, where instead of one response team implementing all the HWC mitigation measures, the roles and responsibilities will be spread over division- and range-level team. Response teams at community-level will be established to facilitate effective participation from the local communities and other stakeholders.

The tiered system will include:

- HWC Mitigation Hub or Control Room at the Chief Conservator of Forest (CCF) office or Divisional Forest Officer (DFO) office, responsible for overseeing the situation, coordination of actions, maintenance of database and equipment, and to act as Incident Command Center in case of emergencies.
- A Rapid Response Team (Division RRT) at division-level, equipped with modern equipment for capture and translocation,
- Rapid Response Teams at forest range level (Range RRT) in ranges facing conflict issues, and
- Primary Response Teams (Community PRT) in each village/ward facing conflict issue.

A permanent pool of RRT members shall be created, and this team shall be on call, for minimum one year period, and then given a cooling / resting period, if required. A dedicated fund shall be created in each state for providing salaries, risk allowances, health- and accident insurance for the personnel engaged in all the mitigation work, including the RRTs, Community PRT and veterinary experts.

Guidelines and Standard Operating Protocols (SOPs), and clear decision-making trees shall be developed, to facilitate smooth and efficient communication, not only within the state forest department (SFD), but also with the other key actors, such as district administration, police, disaster management and civil defence personnel, animal husbandry veterinarians, railways, plantation owners etc. Division-level strategy for response teams should be elaborated in the HWC Management Action Plan (HWC-MAP), containing details on constitution of response teams, their capacities, SOPs, work ethics, occupational health and safety, etc. Details on how they will be trained shall also be provided.

2. ROLE AND COMPOSITION OF DIFFERENT RESPONSE TEAMS

2.1. Area of Operation of Response Teams

Area of Operation of the HWC Mitigation Hub, each RRTs and Community PRTs will be fixed as clearly as possible, to avoid any confusion, and preferably on a Geographic Information System (GIS) map.

The HWC Mitigation Hub will act as a critical centre to mitigate HWC in the forest circle/division, located at the Conservator of Forest’s (CF)/Deputy Conservator of Forest’s (DCF) office.

All information related to HWC will be collected at the Hub at the circle/division level. This Hub will act as the primary contact for reporting conflict instances by both the general public and also the frontline staff of SFD. Key criteria to create the Hub at circle or division level should be based on the feasibility of the Hub to manage its role in HWC mitigation. This in turn largely depends on the size of the landscape to be covered under the Area of Operation of that Hub, i.e., in a hilly terrain, it might be more efficient to create the Hub at division level. In a setting where the areas are easily accessible from the circle headquarters (HQ), a circle-level Hub may be more practical.

In both cases, the day-to-day operations of this Hub will be managed by a dedicated forest staff, preferably not lower than the rank of a Range Forest Officer (RFO). SFDs are encouraged to make appropriate administrative arrangements for such a posting/creating a position for this.
The Area of Operation of each RRTs will need to be fixed in such a way that the RRT can travel within two hours / any other time-limit as may deem fit by the respective SFD, from their HQ to the incidence site. A common mobile app used by all RRTs and PRTs within the state, will help in ironing out any final issues in the Area of Operation.

The response teams will be established with the required competencies-development measures, including training, role clarity and equipment. RRTs will directly report to the concerned CCF or DFO/protected area (PA) manager, while the PRTs will be largely coordinated through the local Panchayats. All RRTs within a landscape will report to a single office (CCF/DFO/PA manager), where possible, to ensure effective communication and coordination in deployment of teams in emergency situations.

2.2. Role of HWC Mitigation Hub/Control Room

- This will be the central focus for receiving and sending all communication related to HWC in the respective Area of Operation, so even when an RFO/any other staff receives a message about presence of potential conflict animal or an incidence, it will be expected that s/he immediately passes on the message to the HWC Emergency Helpline at the Hub, and in turn, receives further directions as per the Emergency Response Plan of the division according to HWC-NAP
  - SFDs will ensure that the helpline number is a dedicated landline number, for better connectivity
  - There will a facility/provision to re-direct calls related to HWC, if received on other helplines such as fire/police/centralized control room at district administration headquarters. Personnel at such control rooms (fire, police, district administration) will be regularly sensitized by the CCF/DFO office on various types of HWC situations, required action and communication requirements by the control room.
- Maintaining the resource database (nearby hospitals, vehicles, fire brigade, local hotspots) mapped on a Geographic Information System (GIS) platform
- Identifying opportunities for radio-collaring of key conflict species, and sending the proposal to DFO; maintaining the data generated by radio-collars, camera traps and other such inputs; integrating the data in the Hub, and developing local hotspot maps
- Maintenance of all equipment such as radio-collars, camera traps, RRT kits, and regular update of inventory
- Facilitating the process of training of all response teams, as per the Guidelines on training (provided in the relevant section in this document)
- Identifying possible HWC hotspots for each season, and supporting the CCF/DFO in deploying the response teams at selected locations, enhancing the patrolling frequency of those locations, and intensifying the awareness/communication among villages with support from Community PRTs
- Maintaining and managing a record of RRTs, Community PRTs, their equipment inventory and status, and their mapped area of operation (on Geographic Information System (GIS) format)
- Maintaining a checklist of key medical professionals and hospitals in the area, along with contact details. The mobile app should also have the GPS locations of hospitals, so as to find the fastest approach
- The Hub will have the latest updated (quarterly update) list of key contacts of district administration, police, disaster management department, veterinary experts, fire brigade, panchayats, civil defence volunteers, home guards etc. to ensure efficient communication and coordination.

2.3. Rapid Response Team (RRT) at Division/PA level

2.3.1. Composition:

- DFO territorial/PA Manager
- Veterinary expert
- RFO with knowledge of landscape
- Staff with excellent knowledge of key wildlife species in the area
- Staff responsible for use and maintenance of all the equipment and vehicles.

(SFDs will work out a proportion of staff in the RRT that should be permanent staff of the SFD. This is required to ensure effectiveness in competencies-development efforts and retention of knowledge and experiences in the teams for longer duration)
2.3.2. Role:

- To capture and translocate a wild animal in conflict, as per the provisions of the WPLA, and in line with the species-specific Guidelines and SOPs.
- To rescue wild animals stranded in human habitation, as per the provisions of the WPLA, and in line with the species-specific Guidelines and SOPs.
- Veterinary expert on the RRT: Support from knowledgeable and experienced veterinarians is essential for:
  o chemical immobilization and safe transportation of wild animals
  o deploying radio-collars and identification marks on them
  o treating sick and injured wild animals in the field and at the Rescue Centres/Transit Facilities; and
  o screening captured animals for zoonotic diseases before releasing them back into the wild.
- Kumki Squad: Trained elephants (kumkis) and mahouts are very useful in dealing with several conflict situations, especially involving wild elephant, tiger, leopard, gaur and rhinoceros. Kumki elephants are useful for:
  o Tracking, monitoring, chasing and driving of conflict animals
  o Scanning of forest to locate animals in conflict, injured animals or their carcasses
  o Assisting experts in chemical immobilisation and translocation of animals in conflict.
- The SFD may build a permanent kumki squad, either by procuring surplus trained elephants from other states, or by capturing suitable wild elephants from its own forests (under Section 11 or Section 12 of the WPLA-1972) and getting these elephants and prospective mahouts trained with the help of kumkis and mahouts from other states.
- The kumki squad should be camped at a place reasonably close to the potential conflict area, having plentiful supply of elephant fodder and running water (for bathing and drinking), and accessible to a veterinarian.

2.4 Rapid Response Team at Range Level (Range RRT):

2.4.1 Composition:

- RFO or the Forest Range In-Charge (responsible for decision-making on the need for capture, and final reporting)
- Local veterinary expert (on call)
- Staff with knowledge of landscape
- Staff with excellent knowledge of key wildlife species in conflict
- Staff responsible for engaging with local panchayat and communities
- Staff responsible for engaging with local media
- Staff responsible for managing and maintaining the equipment and vehicles
- Staff responsible for documentation.

2.4.2 Role

- To oversee the status of recognised wildlife corridors once in a quarter and submit a report to the DFO.
- To make arrangements to set up trap cages in locations where conflict has been reported.
- To supervise, in close coordination with Community PRT, the barriers and other mitigation measures set up to prevent HWC, and inform the appropriate authority about their maintenance.
- To regularly patrol and monitor:
  o the location where any human has been injured (the area must be scanned/monitored by camera trap to investigate whether any other animal is moving in the area).
  o to conduct an enquiry into each case of human death/injury caused by encounter with a wild animal. Straying of the animal from its usual movement is to be monitored and a report submitted to the DFO.
  o to identify wild animals indulging habitually in encounters with humans/ livestock and submit proposals to the DFO for action under S.11(1) of the WPLA-1972.
  o To monitor any symptoms of zoonotic and other emerging diseases and alert the HWC mitigation Hub/ control room.
- To take up communication and awareness measures for the local communities.
- To provide training in conflict-management techniques to Community PRTs.
- To ensure that all members of the Community PRT have insurance cover against death or injury.
- To manage minor operations of HWC (e.g., chasing and driving of wild animals as per the WPLA, snake handling) or to manage the situation until the arrival of the Division RRT, in case a capture is required.
  o While the Range RRT does not itself conduct rescue and capture operations, it informs and alerts the HWC Mitigation Hub on the need for a Division RRT deployment, if any capture or rescue is required.
- To provide first aid to the persons injured by wild animals, and help them in receiving timely medical care
- To help the authorised chemical immobilization expert in tracking and locating the conflict animals proclaimed by the Chief Wildlife Warden CWW/Authorised Officer under Section 11(1) of WLPA
- To help farmers affected by crop damage in getting compensation/getting ex-gratia relief from the competent authority at the earliest
- To conduct damage assessment of crops
- To conduct enquiry in each case of livestock kill/injury during conflict and submit a report to the DFO
- To manage the crowd of onlookers during and after an incident
- To engage with local media
- To meticulously document the operations, report, and upload the data into the Hub using mobile app
- To maintain the vehicles and other rescue equipment in top condition.

2.5. Primary Response Team at Village/Ward Level (Community PRT)

Local communities are at the direct helm of HWC. They bear the direct brunt of loss of livestock, human lives and other economic losses, as a direct or indirect result of conflict with wild animals. Therefore, there is a need to involve the local community members/ward members of the panchayats through a participatory approach and develop a community-based emergency response systems from within the affected village/ward. The formation and empowerment of community-level Primary Response Teams (Community PRT) in village/wards or village/ward clusters at HWC hotspots is expected to improve the first response mechanism, support the efforts of the division and range RRTs and can especially play a crucial role towards the crowd management in HWC situations.

Several examples of efficient first response, within the golden hours, and successful law and order situation/crowd management by the specialized community-based statutory voluntary organizations such as Civil Defence and Home Guards1 exists.

2.5.1 Recommended composition:
- Two panchayat/ward members (at least 1 woman representative)
- Two youth (18-24 years of age)
- Two representatives from either local Joint Forest Management (JFM) Committees, self-help groups (SHGs), Biodiversity Management Committees (BMCs), Village Forest Committees (VFCs), Eco-Development Committees (EDCs), or other community-based organizations such as civil defence and home guards
- One representative from a local educational institution (to facilitate long-term awareness, sensitization and training measures)
- Two members representing a local NGO, private sector such as tea/coffee estates, farmers, companies, army units, etc., depending on the specific situation of the area.

2.5.2. Sub-teams:

Suggested sub-teams within the Community PRT for specialised tasks, in case of emergency situations due to HWC:

- Control Room Service, to coordinate and steer the communication and response during an emergency situation
- First Response Team, to take lead at the onset of emergency
- Casualty Service, to take lead during medical emergencies
- Crowd Management Team, to take lead during crowd situations

The above sub-teams will undergo specialised trainings delivered by specialized agencies, to effectively implement their specific tasks, apart from the regular trainings provided to Community PRT as outlined in table 3 at the end of this document.

---

1 According to the Civil Defence Act, 1968, civil defence is defined as any measure “not amounting to actual combat, that protects persons, property and places in India from hostile attack”. The objectives of Civil Defence are to save the life, to minimize loss of property, to maintain continuity of production and to keep high up the morale of humans. Civil Defence measures are designed to deal with immediate emergency conditions, protect the public and restore vital services and facilities that have been destroyed or damaged by disaster. This is also applicable to HWC related emergency situations.

‘Home Guards’ is a voluntary force, to serve as an auxiliary Force to the Police in maintenance of internal security situations, help the community in any kind of emergency such as an air-raid, fire, cyclone, earthquake, epidemic, etc., help in maintenance of essential services, promote communal harmony and assist the administration in protecting weaker sections, participate in socio-economic and welfare activities and perform Civil Defence duties. The Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India formulates the policy in respect of role, raising, training, equipping, establishment and other important matters of Home Guards Organisation.
2.5.3 Recommended Selection Guidelines for PRT members:

- Proficient in local language and having knowledge about the community
- Physically fit (required for foot patrolling and crowd control)
- Ability to work in a team
- Desirable: existing member of village-level/ward-level committees
- Desirable: Local resident from the village/town
- Passion for wildlife and nature conservation
- Basic understanding of wildlife behaviour
- Basic understanding of first aid (training will be provided by the SFD with support from the local/state educational/training institutions)
- Good rapport with local community and understanding of mob management.
- Certified competencies relevant to the tasks in their respective sub-teams (this selection guideline is only for the members for the sub-teams in 2.5.2)

2.5.4 Role:

- To regularly monitor the community-based mitigation measures installed in the area
- To manage/maintain selected mitigation measures, such as barriers, as agreed with the Range RRTs
- To create awareness among local communities on HWC mitigation, and ensure that they do not undertake any activities that might lead to a conflict situation
- Facilitating sessions on HWC-related topics in regular panchayat/ward meetings, for sharing information on wildlife biology, nature and ecological needs of the wild animals, their behaviour, Dos and Don’ts for human safety. In such sessions, the RRT members can also be involved, along with wildlife, agriculture and rural development experts, from time to time
- To facilitate capacity development of local community on alternative livelihood, by engaging SHGs and other groups
- To maintain the small equipment provided to the PRTs, and inform the Range RRT, in case a replacement is required
- To document the operations, and report to panchayat
- To act as first responders in the event of HWC, and manage the situation till the other response teams arrives
- To facilitate the Range RRT in managing crowd during driving/capture operations
- To facilitate knowledge sharing with the local communities regarding the HWC mobile app
- To provide information to local communities on state government schemes and programmes on HWC mitigation
- To provide information to SFD on first signs of forest fire
- To support the SFD in conducting HWC risk analysis, and development and implementation of division-level HWC-MAP
- To support SFD in identifying locations for invasive species removal
3. TRAINING APPROACH FOR RESPONSE TEAMS: KEY ELEMENTS OF THE CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT APPROACH FOR RESPONSE TEAMS

3.1 Division and Range RRTs

Capacity development of the Rapid Response Teams will comprise the following:

Regular competencies-based trainings will be provided to RRTs by state’s training institutions/other accredited institutions within that state.

Competencies of members of RRTs will be reviewed on a regular basis, using a standard format of Training Needs Assessment (TNA). Based on the results of TNA, the curriculum for their training will be fine-tuned and updated regularly.

Training of the RRTs will be implemented using a competencies approach, and standardized training courses. Training will be imparted by a team of trainers (trained in using participatory training methods for overall steering of the training), and a team of resource persons, to provide specific inputs (drawn from different domains such as wildlife, social sciences, Geographic Information System (GIS) etc., depending on the theme of the training).

Ideal will be to implement the trainings jointly by the state forest training institutions, with the training institutions from disaster management, NDRF/ SDRF, Civil Defence, Home Guards training institutions. Trainers/ Resource persons can be identified based on both their expertise and availability. A rolling roster of officers/experts, who have been trained and certified in using participatory training methods, will be developed and maintained at state/division level. These trainers will undergo refresher courses on training methods via ‘Training of Trainers’ each year at the above state level / national training institutions such as IGNFA, WII, CASFOS, NIRD, NAARM.

Trainings of RRTs, and also selected trainings of PRTs will be conducted using state-of-the-art innovative hands-on and competencies-based training methods such as mock drills/simulation exercises, conducted together with the railways, police, panchayats and disaster management department, on a monthly basis, in all HWC hotspots. Joint training of the response teams sharing the same landscape will be conducted both in inter-state and also intra-state landscapes. All response teams will use the mobile app to document their work. This will not only ensure ease of communication but also in future, help conduct trend analyses of operations. This also will facilitate self-learning, and also serve as a TNA.

3.2. Village/ward level Primary Response Teams (Community PRTs)

The training of the village/ward Community PRT members will aim to strengthen a common understanding of HWC issues and mitigation measures, in line with the species-specific Guidelines and HWC-MAP of the division. The training will gear the Community PRTs on preparedness for handling HWC issues in their area as first responders, medical first

---

2 Competencies are demonstrated behaviours that lead to success. Competencies have been described in the National Training Policy (2012) of India to encompass knowledge, skills and behaviour, which are required in an individual for effectively performing the functions of a post.
response, control room procedures, law and order management, crowd/mob management and to act as the key source of information in the village/location.

The PRT training will address technical competencies, competencies for promoting harmonious co-existence, and competencies for effectiveness and efficiency of the PRT members, along with role clarity and process-clarity.

At the end of each Community PRT training, a brief two-hour dialogue between the panchayat representatives and the PRT members is recommended. This will bring all panchayat-level stakeholders on one platform, thus strengthening the collective ownership of PRTs.

It is expected that the training, implemented using the participatory and inclusive approach, should enable the Community PRT members to assist panchayats and work together with RRTs to enhance the overall efficiency and effectiveness of the mitigation measures.

Community PRT trainings, will preferably be implemented by the state/district training institutions of Home Guards, Civil Defence, Police, rural development and Panchayati raj/agriculture, with resource persons drawn from Home Guards, Civil Defence, SFDs/forest training institutions conducting trainings for the RRTs.

It is critical that the curriculum, training approach and institutional networking is aligned for the trainings of RRTs and PRTs, and this can be achieved at the platforms such as district-level Coordination Committee (DLCC), state-level Coordination Committee (SLCC) or the landscape-level forum.

There will be specialised trainings for the members of the sub-groups in section 2.5.2, implemented by specialized agencies. These members will be certified to be able to implement the tasks that are outlined for the sub-teams.
4. COMPETENCIES NEEDED FOR TASKS AND ACTIVITIES THAT THE RESPONSE TEAMS ARE REQUIRED TO CARRY OUT:

4.1. Overview of Curriculum Framework for three response teams

Tables 1, 2 and 3 provide a snapshot of the curriculum framework for the three response teams, in line with the role and responsibilities they are expected to carry out, and the competencies required to do such tasks.

The tables only provide a framework for the trainings. Detailed contents of the trainings will be dependent on the threats for the respective division/landscape, as identified during the process of Threat Risk Assessment.

The framework on next pages provides:

- an overview of the recommended learning outcomes
- possible training contents
- recommended training methods to ensure addressing the desired competencies (conventional training methods such as lecture and presentations are not appropriate to train response teams, and will be used only to provide the necessary conceptual inputs)

- an operational insight into the possible breakdown of the training programme cycle into training events, with suggested titles and durations for each response team. This is a flexible section, where, depending on the availability of time and resources, several trainings can be clubbed together, while maintain the rigour as indicated by the learning outcomes. At the same time, depending on the intensity of specific type of conflict, one training can also be split into components to enhance the focus, and implemented over longer duration. However, all such modifications will be done in line with the competencies being addressed and achievement of the learning outcomes.

It is important to note here that each training event can focus on a specialized field craft, and in these tables is named after that, viz. Training on Early Warning and Rapid Response, Use and maintenance of Drone, etc. However, each training should address all key competencies in a systematic manner. Approximately, 50% time should be spent on thematic issue, and the rest of the time will be spent on other competencies: competencies for harmonious co-existence, work effectiveness and especially communication and leadership.
## 4.2. Curriculum Framework for Division-level Rapid Response Teams:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team</th>
<th>Required Competencies based on job profile</th>
<th>Learning Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Division RRT | **Has knowledge and skills on wildlife:** including behaviour and biology of key wildlife species in conflict in the area; Understanding of the socio-economic and cultural dimension of wildlife management; legal and policy regime for HWC mitigation; Proficiency in field craft of tracking, capturing and translocation of wild animals as per SOPs; Proficiency in the use of relevant equipment and new technology required in capture and translocation of a wild animal as per the SOPs; Proficiency in research and monitoring of HWC; Documentation and report writing as per the SOPs. | **At the end of the training programme cycle, the participants will be able to:**  
- Illustrate concepts and issues in wildlife management and HWC mitigation and key drivers, pressures and prevention measures using a landscape approach  
- Differentiate between various HWC scenarios based on landscape, location, species involved, and community involved  
- Analyse the effectiveness and wildlife-friendliness of existing and potential HWC mitigation measures, and make a systematic plan for HWC mitigation in the area  
- Describe the success factors required for receiving cooperation from other sectors and stakeholders in mitigating HWC  
- Analyse legal opportunities and limitations in conflict management  
- Demonstrate proficiency in implementing ‘early warning and rapid response’ system for mitigating HWC  
- Demonstrate (in a simulation) animal capture and rescue methods and their applicability to different species  
- Draw from experiences and skills of other RRT members from the landscape  
- Demonstrate skills to train Range and Community PRTs, forest watchers and daily wage frontline staff on HWC issues  
- Articulate technical information to others in language that is clear, concise, and easy to understand  
- Demonstrate leadership, communication, decision-making and crisis management skills, consensus building skills in a simulated situation on human wildlife conflict  
- Outline an inclusive strategy and action plan on resource management in their area of work, to achieve the maximum possible efficiency and effectiveness to mitigate HWC  
- appreciate the need for their continuous self-efforts for getting future ready vis-à-vis human wildlife conflict mitigation in India  
- Appreciate their roles in mitigating HWC in their respective areas. |
| | **Has skills to promote harmonious coexistence:** Communicates effectively with the local humans and other departments and sectors for effective implementation of capture and translocation operations; Negotiation, facilitation and conflict management skills; Effectively implements the SOP; Exhibits leadership and problem-solving skills, with colleagues and with external stakeholders, for effective and efficient operations; Maintains self-awareness, self-control and empathy in crisis situations. | |
| | **Work effectiveness:** Commitment and integrity; Adaptive decision-making as per the SOP and dynamic situation in field; Result orientation; Accountability; Planning and coordination skills; Ability to manage resources efficiently; Ability to manage crisis situations effectively. | |
| | **Competencies for innovation and learning:** Pattern recognition; Innovation; Desire for further knowledge; Change orientation; Critical thinking. | |

### Training Methods and Instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Cycle</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 | Coaching and community, group discussions, brainstorming | - Guides and experts  
- Facilitators  
- Everyday materials  
- Additional material  
- Literature on HWC  
- Additional instruments for subject matter  
- Background Guides  
- Learning Facilitators  
- Champions, Case studies, Action Plan, Case development, Continuous, and community, other sectors |
| 2 | Role plays, Reflections, Expert inputs, Simulations, Mock drills, Real-life simulations | - DM, Police, Fire and rescue operation  
- Use and maintenance of drones  
- Use and maintenance of helicopters  
- Use and maintenance of equipment  
- Use and maintenance of technology  
- Use and prevention of weapons  
- Use and maintenance of technical equipment  
- Use of Geographic Information System (GIS) in HWC management  
- Use of GPS in rescue operations  
- Use of GPS, satellite phones, radio, VHF, International Morse Code (MORSE)  
- Use of drones for surveillance and monitoring  
- Use of drones for rescue operations  
- Use of drones for monitoring and surveillance of wildlife  |
| 3 | Rapid response training | - Drivers, Pressures, State, Impact and Response, HWC in individual states and regions  
- Protocols (SOPs), Socio-economic and cultural dimension of wildlife management and HWC; Protocols (SOPs), legal and policy regime for HWC; Protocols (SOPs), snake handling and rescue methods; Protocols (SOPs), translocation of wild animals; Protocols (SOPs), research and monitoring of HWC; Protocols (SOPs), mitigation of HWC; Protocols (SOPs), development of plans towards these situations  
- Different situations of HWC to be addressed by RRTs, and development of plans towards these situations  
- Brainstorming on key elements of new/existing plans and Guidelines  
- Techniques for conceptual analysis and problem analysis  
- Use of Geographic Information System (GIS) in HWC management  
- Use of GPS in rescue operations  
- Use of GPS, satellite phones, radio, VHF, International Morse Code (MORSE)  
- Use of drones for surveillance and monitoring  
- Use of drones for rescue operations  
- Use of drones for monitoring and surveillance of wildlife  |
| 4 | Field craft on elephant | - Diagnosing the problem  
- Conceptualizing a project/plan  
- Developing and maintaining a decision-making system within RRT; Time and work-flow skills; Coordination with PRTs and other | - Documentation and reporting process and formats  
- Use of Geographic Information System (GIS) in HWC management  
- Use of GPS in rescue operations  
- Use of GPS, satellite phones, radio, VHF, International Morse Code (MORSE)  
- Use of drones for surveillance and monitoring  
- Use of drones for rescue operations  
- Use of drones for monitoring and surveillance of wildlife  |
| 5 | First Aid | - Literature on HWC  
- Additional material  
- Background Guides  
- Learning Facilitators  
- Champions, Case studies, Action Plan, Case development, Continuous, and community, other sectors |
| 6 | Rapid response rescue | - Literature on HWC  
- Additional material  
- Background Guides  
- Learning Facilitators  
- Champions, Case studies, Action Plan, Case development, Continuous, and community, other sectors |
| 7 | Rapid response rescue | - Literature on HWC  
- Additional material  
- Background Guides  
- Learning Facilitators  
- Champions, Case studies, Action Plan, Case development, Continuous, and community, other sectors |
| 8 | Rapid response rescue | - Literature on HWC  
- Additional material  
- Background Guides  
- Learning Facilitators  
- Champions, Case studies, Action Plan, Case development, Continuous, and community, other sectors |
| 9 | Rapid response rescue | - Literature on HWC  
- Additional material  
- Background Guides  
- Learning Facilitators  
- Champions, Case studies, Action Plan, Case development, Continuous, and community, other sectors |
| 10 | Rapid response rescue | - Literature on HWC  
- Additional material  
- Background Guides  
- Learning Facilitators  
- Champions, Case studies, Action Plan, Case development, Continuous, and community, other sectors |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Content</th>
<th>Training methods, instruments and Material</th>
<th>Training Programme Cycle*</th>
<th>Time (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drivers, Pressures, State, Impact and Response, HWC in development context, Ecology and behaviour of key wildlife species in conflict - elephant, leopard, wild boar, tiger, crocodile, rhesus macaque, bonnet macaque, sloth bear, blackbuck, nilgai, snakes; Legal scenario regarding HWC mitigation, policies of forest and other relevant sectors, Guidelines and Standard Operating Protocols (SOPs), Socio-economic and cultural dimension of wildlife management; An overview of mitigation measures (short-term and long-term); Conflict hotspots; Field craft of tracking, capturing and translocation of wild animals as per SOPs; Use of relevant equipment and new technology, Key issues and procedures involved in capturing of wild animals in conflict, Post-capture management of animals in conflict; Occupational safety and health; Research and monitoring methods; First aid; Wildlife Population management; Use of Geographic Information System (GIS) in HWC management; Documentation and reporting process and formats</td>
<td>Expert inputs and discussion, Knowledge café, Self-study assignments followed by Quiz, Thematic Champions, Case studies, Practice Café</td>
<td>Training 1: Early Warning and Rapid response</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosing the problem; Conceptualizing a project/plan; Implementing a planning process, taking an inclusive and participatory approach; Stakeholder mapping; Occupational health and safety; Participatory and inclusive implementation of mitigation plan and measures; Communication skills; Leadership skills; Incidence Reporting and reflections for SOP; Meditative techniques for strengthening self-awareness and empathy</td>
<td>Facilitator’s Guide; Learning Journal; Background reading material (Modules); additional exercise material (handouts)</td>
<td>Training 2: Use and maintenance of rescue equipment</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training 3: Field craft on Leopard monitoring, tracking and rescue operation (Joint with other RRTs and PRTs)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Training 3: Field craft on Leopard monitoring, tracking and rescue operation (Jointly with other RRTs and PRTs)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training 4: Field craft on elephant monitoring, tracking and rescue operation (Jointly with other RRTs and PRTs)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Training 4: Field craft on elephant monitoring, tracking and rescue operation (Jointly with other RRTs and PRTs)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training 5: Emergency preparedness training (Joint training with DM, Police, Fire Brigade, Doctors for First Aid)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Training 5: Emergency preparedness training (Joint training with DM, Police, Fire Brigade, Doctors for First Aid)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training 6: Snake handling and rescue (Jointly with PRTs)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Training 6: Snake handling and rescue (Jointly with PRTs)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training 7: Capture and handling of other animals (Specialized training)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Training 7: Capture and handling of other animals (Specialized training)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training 8: Post-capture management of animals (Specialized training)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Training 8: Post-capture management of animals (Specialized training)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training 9: Simulation with PRTs (Joint training with PRTs and other stakeholders)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Training 9: Simulation with PRTs (Joint training with PRTs and other stakeholders)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training 10: Use and maintenance of Drones (Specialized training)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Training 10: Use and maintenance of Drones (Specialized training)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.3. Curriculum Framework for Range-level Rapid Response Teams:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team</th>
<th>Required Competencies based on job profile</th>
<th>Learning Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Has knowledge and skills on wildlife:** | including behaviour and biology of key wildlife species in conflict in the area; Understanding of the socio-economic and cultural dimension of wildlife management, and legal and policy regime for HWC mitigation; Proficiency in field craft of tracking, and handling minor situations as per SOPs; Proficiency in the use of relevant equipment and new technology required in tracking and monitoring of wild animals; Proficiency in research and monitoring of HWC; Documentation and report writing as per the SOPs | At the end of the training programme cycle, the participants **will be able to**:*  
* (*all participants will be assessed for these learning outcomes at the end of the training)  
  • Illustrate concepts and issues in wildlife management and HWC mitigation and key drivers, pressures and prevention measures using a landscape approach  
  • Differentiate between various HWC scenarios based on landscape, location, species involved, and community perception on each scenario  
  • Analyse the effectiveness and wildlife-friendliness of existing and potential HWC mitigation measures, and make a systematic plan for HWC mitigation in the area  
  • Describe the success factors required for receiving cooperation from other sectors and stakeholders, and Community PRTs in mitigating HWC  
  • Analyse legal opportunities and limitations in conflict management  
  • Demonstrate proficiency in implementing ‘early warning and rapid response’ system for mitigating HWC  
  • Draw from experiences and skills of other Range RRT members from the landscape  
  • Demonstrate skills to train Community PRTs on HWC issues  
  • Articulate technical information to others in language that is clear, concise, and easy to understand  
  • Demonstrate leadership, communication, decision-making and crisis management skills, consensus building skills in a simulated situation on human wildlife conflict  
  • Outline an inclusive strategy and action plan on resource management in their area of work, to achieve the maximum possible efficiency and effectiveness to mitigate HWC  
  • Appreciate the need for continuous self-effort to get future-ready vis-à-vis HWC mitigation  
  • Appreciate their specific roles in mitigating HWC in their respective areas |
| **Has skills to promote harmonious coexistence:** | Communicates effectively with the local humans, Community PRTs, and other departments and sectors, for effective monitoring of animals and maintenance of mitigation structures; Negotiation, facilitation and conflict management skills; Effectively implements the SOP; Exhibits leadership and problem-solving skills, with colleagues and with external stakeholders, for effective and efficient operations; Maintains self-awareness, self-control and empathy in crisis situations |  |
| **Work effectiveness:** | Commitment and integrity; Adaptive decision-making as per the SOP and dynamic situation in field; Result orientation; Accountability; Planning and coordination skills; Ability to manage resources efficiently; Ability to manage crisis situations effectively |  |
| **Competencies for innovation and learning:** | Pattern recognition; Innovation; Desire for further knowledge; Change orientation; Critical thinking |  |
### Training Content

- **Drivers, Pressures, State, Impact and Response, HWC in the development context; Ecology and behaviour of key wildlife species in conflict – elephant, leopard, wild boar, tiger, crocodile, rhesus macaque, bonnet macaque, sloth bear, blackbuck, nilgai, snakes; Legal scenario regarding HWC mitigation; Policies of forest and other relevant sectors, Guidelines and SOPs; Socio-economic and cultural dimension of wildlife management; Overview of mitigation measures (short-term and long-term); Conflict hotspots; Field craft of tracking and monitoring of wild animals as per SOPs; Handling snakes; Use of relevant equipment and new technology; Occupational safety and health; Research and monitoring methods; Engaging local communities and Community PRTs in invasive species and forest fire management; First Aid; Use of GPS in HWC management; Documentation and reporting process and formats**

### Training methods, instruments and Material

- Expert inputs and discussion; Knowledge café; Self-study assignments followed by Quiz, Thematic Champions, Case studies, Practice Café
- **Facilitator's Guide; Learning Journal; Background reading material (Modules); additional exercise material (handouts)**

### Training Programme Cycle* Time (days)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training</th>
<th>Cycle Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 1:</strong></td>
<td>Early Warning and Rapid response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 2:</strong></td>
<td>Use and maintenance of rescue equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 3:</strong></td>
<td>Field craft on Leopard monitoring, tracking and rescue operation <em>(Jointly with other RRTs and PRTs)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 4:</strong></td>
<td>Field craft on elephant monitoring, tracking and rescue operation <em>(Jointly with other RRTs and PRTs)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 5:</strong></td>
<td>Emergency preparedness training <em>(Joint training with DM, Police, Fire Brigade, Doctors for first aid)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 6:</strong></td>
<td>Snake handling and rescue <em>(Jointly with PRTs)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 7:</strong></td>
<td>Invasive species and their management <em>(Joint with Range RRT and other Community PRTs)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 8:</strong></td>
<td>Forest fire management <em>(Joint with other Range RRTs and Community PRTs)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 9:</strong></td>
<td>Simulation with PRTs (Joint training with PRTs and other stakeholders)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 10:</strong></td>
<td>Crowd management <em>(Specialized training with Community PRTs and RRTs)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Additional Training

- **Diagnosing the problem; conceptualizing a project/plan; Implementing a planning process taking an inclusive and participatory approach; Stakeholder mapping; Occupational health and safety; Participatory and inclusive implementation of mitigation plans and measures; Communication skills; Leadership skills; Incidence Reporting and reflections for SOP; Meditative techniques for strengthening self-awareness and empathy**

- **Approach, methods and tools for enhancing engagement with media; On ground understanding of tools and humans’s perceptions for managing crowds during mitigation operations**

- **Developing and maintaining a decision-making system within RRT; Time and work-flow skills; Coordination with PRTs and other departments; Different situations of HWC to be addressed by RRTs and development of plans towards these situations; Resource management plans with gender-inclusive approach, crisis management plans**

- **Simulation scenarios, Case studies**

- **Techniques for conceptual analysis and problem analysis; Brainstorming on key elements of new/existing plans and Guidelines for continuous innovation, common field situations and how to address these**

---

*Note: The training programme cycle and time details are indicative and may vary based on specific requirements.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team</th>
<th>Required Competencies based on job profile</th>
<th>Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>Training Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has knowledge and skills on wildlife: including behaviour and biology of key wildlife species in conflict in the area; Legal and policy regime for HWC mitigation; Proficiency in the use of relevant equipment; Documentation</td>
<td>At the end of the training programme cycle, the participants will be able to*: (*all participants will be assessed for these learning outcomes at the end of the training)</td>
<td>• Ecosystem services arising out of biodiversity and wildlife; Livelihood security and wildlife; HWC in overall development context and its linkages with SDGs and development schemes; Drivers, Pressures, State, Impact and Response on HWC taking a landscape approach; Need for holistic approach to HWC mitigation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has skills to promote harmonious coexistence: Communicates effectively with members of forest department and other response teams for effective planning and implementation of mitigation measures; Exhibits leadership and problem-solving skills, Maintains self-awareness, self-control and empathy in crisis situations</td>
<td>Work effectiveness: Commitment and integrity; Adaptive decision-making as per the SOP and dynamic situation in field; Result orientation; Accountability; Planning and coordination skills; Ability to manage resources efficiently; Ability to manage crisis situations effectively</td>
<td>• Ecology and behaviour of key wildlife species in conflict – elephant, leopard, wild boar, tiger, crocodile, rhesus macaque, bonnet macaque, sloth bear, blackbuck, nilgai, snakes; Overview of mitigation measures (short-term and long-term); invasive species and their management; Forest fire management; Occupational safety and health; First aid, Use of GPS in HWC management; Documentation process and formats</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competencies for innovation and learning: Pattern recognition; Innovation; Desire for further knowledge; Change orientation; Critical thinking</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Diagnosing the problem and communicating; Participatory and inclusive implementation of mitigation plans and measures; Communication skills; Leadership skills, Incidence reporting, Meditative techniques for strengthening self-awareness and empathy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community PRT</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Approach, methods and tools for enhancing engagement with Range RRTs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Developing and maintaining decision-making system within Community PRT and with Range RRT; Different situations of HWC to be addressed by Community PRTs and development of plans towards these situations; Resource management plans with gender-inclusive approach, Crisis management plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training methods, instruments and Material</td>
<td>Training Programme Cycle*</td>
<td>Time (days)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Expert inputs and discussion, Knowledge café, Self-study assignments followed by Quiz, Thematic Champions, Case studies, Practice Café</td>
<td><strong>Training 1:</strong> Basics of HWC and mitigation measures, EWRR, facilitation skills</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• facilitator’s Guide; Learning Journal; Background reading material (Modules); additional exercise material (handouts)</td>
<td><strong>Training 2:</strong> Invasive species and their management <em>(Jointly with Range RRT and other Community PRTs)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation scenarios, Case studies</td>
<td><strong>Training 3:</strong> Establishment, assessment and monitoring of mitigation measures <em>(Jointly with Range RRT and other Community PRTs)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expert inputs, Reflection sessions, Role Plays, Simulations, Mock drills, Real-life joint drills with participants of forest department and other departments, Case studies, Action Café</td>
<td><strong>Training 4:</strong> Alternate crops – reducing the risk of HWC <em>(Jointly with PRTs of Landscape, and other committees, with ICAR)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 5:</strong> Emergency preparedness training <em>(Joint training with DM, Police, Fire Brigade, Doctors for First Aid)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 6:</strong> Forest fire management <em>(Jointly with Range RRT and other Community PRTs of landscape)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 7:</strong> Alternate livelihoods – reducing the risk of HWC <em>(Jointly with PRTs of Landscape, SHGs and other committees)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 8:</strong> Communication and facilitation skills, and community engagement <em>(Jointly with other Community PRTs from the Landscape)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 9:</strong> Simulation with PRTs <em>(Jointly with Range RRTs and other stakeholders)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training 10:</strong> Crowd management <em>(Specialized training with Range RRTs and RRTs)</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. WHY CONSERVATION LAWS

Human activities are progressively reducing the planet's life supporting capacity and continue to deteriorate. Therefore, the need of the hour is to integrate conservation and development to ensure that the modifications to the landscape do indeed secure the survival and well-being of both humans and wildlife (WCS, 1980)

1.1 Historical Background

Conservation in India can be traced back to 3rd century B.C during the period of Emperor Ashoka. The first wildlife conservation law was enacted as Wildlife Birds Protection Act No X of 1887, restricted to Municipalities and Cantonment areas, which was subsequently modified as Wildlife Birds and Animals Protection Act No VIII of 1912 extending to the whole of British India.

1.2 Constitutional Safeguards

The following articles in the Constitution safeguard the interest of wildlife in the country:

Art.48-A: The state shall endeavour to protect and improve the environment and to safeguard the forests and wildlife of the country

Art.51-A (g): It shall be the duty of every citizen of India to protect and improve the natural environment including forests, lakes, rivers and wildlife and to have compassion for living creatures

Art.256 (7th Schedule): The 42nd Amendment shifted the subject of “Forests” and “Protection of wild animals and birds” from the State List to the Concurrent List

1.3 National Forest Policy

1.3.1 National Forest Policy, 1988: advocates the needs of wildlife conservation, and linking the protected areas by “corridors” in order to maintain genetic continuity.

1.3.2 Draft National Forest Policy, 2019: states that the “human wildlife conflicts” have escalated over the years due to combination of factors related to habitats and population of certain wildlife species within and outside forests.

1.4 National Wildlife Action Plan

The National Wildlife Action Plan (NWAP) (2017-2031) prescribes adopting a concerted approach to protection, conservation and management of wildlife throughout the country and recognizes that the human-wildlife conflict (HWC) has directly and indirectly impacted humans leading to growing antipathy amongst the humans towards wildlife. It also recognises that HWC in several cases has increased but the welfare of animals must be the primary consideration while managing conflict the release in the wild should be based on exigencies of the situation and species-specific consideration.

1.5 Sustainable Development Goals

Of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDG), as far as HWC is concerned, the following goals are relevant:

Goal 1: End poverty in all its forms everywhere

Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture

Goal 14: Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development

Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss

1.6 Laws relevant for Conservation when dealing with HWC

The following legislations are considered relevant for conservation when dealing with HWC:

- Indian Forest Act 1927
- Forest Conservation Act 1980
- Wildlife Protection Act 1972
- Drugs and Cosmetics Act 1940
- Narcotics Drugs and Drugs Psychotropic Substances Act 1985
- Insecticides Act 1968
- Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960
- Environment (Protection) Act, 1986
- Biological Diversity Act 2002
- Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006
- Indian Fisheries Act, 1897, and state-specific Marine Fisheries (Regulation) Acts
- Indian Arms Act 1959
- Indian Penal Code 1860
2.1 Key Definitions:

a) “Animal” includes mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fish, other chordates and invertebrates and also includes their young and eggs; (Section 2 (1))

b) “Captive animal” means any animal, specified in Schedule I, Schedule II, Schedule III or Schedule IV, which is captured or bred in captivity; (Section 2 (5))

c) “Wild animal” means any animal specified in Schedules I to IV and found wild in nature; (Section 2 (36))

d) “Wild life” includes any animal, aquatic or land vegetation which forms part of any habitat; (Section 2 (37)).

e) “Habitat” includes land, water or vegetation which is the natural home of any wild animal; (Section 2 (15))

f) “Land” includes canals, creeks and other water channels, reservoirs, rivers, streams and lakes, whether artificial or natural [marshes and wetlands and also includes boulders and rocks]; (Section 2 (17))

g) “Hunting”, with its grammatical variations and cognate expressions, includes: killing or poisoning of any wild animal or captive animal and every attempt to do so; capturing, coursing, snaring, trapping, driving or baiting any wild or captive animal and every attempt to do so; injuring or destroying or taking any part of the body of any such animal or, in the case of wild birds or reptiles, damaging the eggs of such birds or reptiles or disturbing the eggs or nests of such birds or reptiles; (Section 2 (16)).

h) “Weapon” includes ammunition, bows and arrows, explosives, firearms, hooks, knives, nets, poison, snares and traps and any instrument or apparatus capable of anaesthetizing, decoying, destroying, injuring or killing an animal; (Section 2 (35))

2.7 Implications and Synergy of various Legislations for HWC mitigation:

The synergy in various conservation laws having human wildlife interaction manifestation allows for investigation and addressing the issues and related processes in multidimensional way in order to get generalized and specific redressal measures.

2. WILDLIFE RELATED LEGISLATION

The Wildlife (Protection) Act 1972 is meant to provide for the protection of wild animals, birds and plants and for the matters connected therewith or ancillary or incidental thereto with a view to ensuring the ecological and environmental security of the country.

The provisions provided in this Act are relevant in the context of management of HWC. Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 as per Sections 63 and 64 provides for formulation of rules by the central as well as state governments respectively.

2.2 Dealing with the wild animals, wildlife and habitat

As per Section 9 of the Act, no person shall hunt any wild animal listed in Schedules I to IV except as provided under sections 11 and 12 of the Act.

The legal provisions providing permission for hunting wild animals; removal of wildlife and its habitat including action taken in the interest of wild animals and its habitat in order to address the HWC directly or indirectly is as under-
2.2.1 Permission for hunting of wild animals in certain cases

Hunting of wild animals is to be permitted in certain cases provided under section 11.

a) Schedule I animal

In case of Schedule I animal, if the Chief Wildlife Warden (CWLW) of any State is satisfied that such an animal has become dangerous to human life or is so disabled or diseased beyond recovery then s/he may, by order in writing and stating the reasons therefor, permit any person to hunt such animal or cause such animal to be hunted.

However, no order for killing can be passed unless the CWLW is satisfied that such an animal cannot be captured, tranquilised or translocated.

Also, no such captured animal shall be kept in captivity unless the CWLW is satisfied that such animal cannot be rehabilitated in the wild and reasons for the same are recorded in writing.

The process of capture or translocation, as the case may be, of such animal shall be made in such manner as to cause minimum trauma to the said animal.

b) Schedule II to IV

In case of wild animals specified in Schedule II to IV, CWLW or the Authorised Officer may permit for hunting or cause to be hunted such wild animal or group of animals in a specified area when not only the wild animal has become dangerous to human life or is so disabled or diseased as to be beyond recovery but also when it becomes dangerous to property (including standing crops on any land). All such orders for hunting must be in writing and must state the reasons for issuing such an order.

There is also a provision that the killing or wounding of any wild animal in self-defence or of any other person will not be an offence. However, the person must not be committing an offence when this act became necessary and such animal shall be Government property.

2.2.2 Designating Authorised Officer

As per Section 5 (2) of the WLPA, CWLW may with the previous approval of the state government, by order in writing, delegate all or any of his powers and duties under this Act except those under Section 11 (1) (a) to any officer subordinate to her/him [implying delegation of those powers and duties, authorised to CWLW alone under the Act excepting Section 11 (1) (a)].

However, as per Section 11 (1) (b), besides CWLW, WLPA also authorises Authorised Officer for the implementation of this section [implying no delegation of the powers but authorisation of powers to the Authorised Officer].

According to Section 5 (3) subject to any general or special direction given or condition imposed by the CWLW, any person ‘authorised’ by the CWLW to exercise any powers, may exercise those powers in the same manner and to the same effect as if they had been conferred on that person directly by this Act and not by way of delegation.

Explanation

As per the above section, the Authorised Officer (authorised by the CWLW (with due approval of the State Government) necessarily need not be subordinate to the CWLW within an organization but definitely would be subordinate for the purposes of the implementation of this Act

2.2.3 Killing or Injury of Wild animal

As per the spirit of Section 11 (1), no wild animal shall be killed unless all possible options of capturing, translocation and tranquilizing are exhausted. Provision has been made to provide for the killing of only such animal that has become dangerous to human life and property or is disabled or diseased beyond recovery in a specified area.

However, Section 11 (2) does provide for the killing and wounding in good faith of any wild animal in defence of oneself or any other person provided that when such defence becomes necessary, the person was not committing any act in contravention of any provisions of the WLPA or any rule or order made thereunder.

Also, as per Section 11 (3) any wild animal killed or wounded in defence of any person shall be the Government property.
2.2.4 Permission for Hunting (Special purpose)

Under Section 12, CWLW can grant permit, by an order in writing stating the reasons therefor, to hunt, subject to such conditions as may be specified therein, any wild animal specified in such permit for the purposes of education; scientific research; and scientific management in the context of HWC.

For the purposes of ‘scientific management’, it means either translocation of any wild animal to an alternative suitable habitat; OR population management of wildlife, without killing or poisoning or destroying any wild animal.

As regards to the grant of permit in respect of Schedule I wild animals, previous permission of the central government has to be obtained WHEREAS in respect of other Schedule wild animals, previous permission of the State government has to be obtained.

Explanation

In the context of HWC management, hunting under this section envisages capture and translocation without killing or poisoning or destroying any wild animal.

2.2.5 Destruction/removal of Wildlife from Sanctuary and National Park

Any activity undertaken within the limits of a Wildlife Sanctuary (WLS) or National Park (NP) is in accordance with the approved Management Plan by the CWLW.

Any action needed beyond a Management Plan, which is not prescribed or contemplated and arises due to human-wildlife conflict is covered under sections 29 and 35 (6) of the WLPA in respect of WLS and NP respectively.

The above sections authorize the CWLW to give permission to “destroy, exploit or remove any wild life including forest produce OR destroy or damage or divert the habitat of any wild animal OR divert, stop or enhance the flow of water into or outside the WLS / NP” with the prior approval of the state government in consultation with the State Board for Wildlife (SBWL) that such removal of wildlife from the WLS / NP or the change in the flow of water is for the “improvement and better management of wildlife therein”.

As per the Proviso, the forest produce so removed may be used for meeting the personal bonafide needs of humans living in and around the WLS / NP and shall not be used for any commercial purpose.

The applicability of this section has been interpreted by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in W.P. (C) No. 202/1995: TN Godavarman Thirumalpad vs. UoI and Ors (IA 548). The Circular of the Central Empowered Committee of the Hon’ble Supreme Court dated 02.07.2004 stated that “In view of the above order, any non-forestry activity, felling of trees/bamboos, removal of biomass, miscellaneous construction activities, etc. in the protected area (PA) were not permissible without prior permission of the Hon’ble Supreme Court” implying a virtual ban on removal of any wildlife from a PA without the prior permission of the Supreme Court.

However, this order was partially modified by the Court later on, and the scope was clarified that any activity including the removal must be a part of a formal Working/Management Plan. Subsequently, the permission from Supreme Court was delegated to CEC in case of FCA cases, and NBWL in case of WLPA cases vide its order dated 05.10.2015.

Explanation

Thus, if any activity is planned in context of management of HWC within a WLS or NP which is not part of the Management Plan viz. creation of enclosures for soft release of animals, barricading some area, channelizing water from or towards a PA etc, prior approval from government and consultation with NBWL/SBWL will be required.

2.2.6 Declaration of any wild animal as vermin

While power of placing any species in any schedule of WLPA is vested with central government in Section 61, Section 62 empowers the central government to place any wild animal other than those specified in Schedule I and Part II of Schedule II to be vermin for any area and for such period as may be specified therein and such wild animal shall be deemed to have been included in Schedule V (Vermin) so long as such notification is in force.

Explanation

As the power of notification is vested with central government, it will be incumbent upon the state forest department / government to place a scientifically fact-based proposal to the central government duly cleared by the SBWL / NBWL.
2.2.7 Disposal of the hunted wild animal

As per Section 39 (1) (a) every wild animal, other than vermin, which is hunted under section/s 11 or 29 (1) or 35 (6) or kept or hunted in contravention of any provision of WLPA or any rule or order made thereunder or found dead or killed by mistake shall be the Government property.

However, the Act is silent about appropriation / disposal of the wild animals included in Schedule V under section 62. This becomes more complicated when the notification under section 62 is for a limited specified area and the same species in non-notified area attracts section 39.

It is therefore expedient on the state government while submitting the proposals for declaration of any wild animal as vermin to duly get the advice from the SBWL towards disposal of the hunted wild animal as the SBWL is mandated in formulation of the policy for conservation of wildlife; amendment of any Schedule; and protection of wildlife as per Sections 8 (a), (b) and (c) respectively. Also, central government before declaration of any wild animal as vermin could seek the advice from the National Board for Wildlife (NBWL) towards disposal of the hunted animal as NBWL is mandated to promote conservation of wildlife; framing policies on the ways and means of promoting wildlife conservation; and suggesting measures for improvement thereto as per Sections 5-C (1), (2) (a) and (d) respectively.

2.2.8 Rehabilitation / Rescue of Wild animals

As per Proviso (2) of Section 11 (1) (a) of the WLPA, the first priority is to rehabilitate the captured wild animal into wild before taking into captivity.

As per Schedule 3 (7) of Rule 10 of Recognition of Zoo Rules, 2009, no zoo shall accept any rescued animal unless it has appropriately designed enclosure and upkeep facilities for the animal as well as facilities for keeping it in isolation during quarantine period.

Also, as per Schedule 3 (8) of Rule 10 of Recognition of Zoo Rules, 2009, whenever any zoo decides to accept any rescued animal for housing, a detailed report regarding the source from which the animal has been received, legality of its acquisition and the facilities available at the zoo for housing, upkeep and healthcare shall be sent to the CWLW of the state.

Provided that in case, the rescued animal pertains to an endangered species (Schedule I and II), a copy of the report shall also be sent to the Central Zoo Authority (CZA).

Explanation

As per NTCA's SOP (2013), under no circumstances, an injured / incapacitated tiger / leopard should be released back into the wild, and the same needs to be sent to a recognized zoo with the approval of the CWLW and the CZA. Such animals should be kept in a designated Rescue Centre of the Zoo.

2.2.9 Measures in the interest of wildlife

As per Section 33 and 33-A of the WLPA, the CWLW is the authority who shall control, manage and maintain all WLS and NPs and for that purpose (HWC included) –

a) shall take such steps as will ensure the security of wild animals
b) may take such measures in the interest of wildlife as s/he may consider necessary for the improvement of any habitat
c) may regulate, control or prohibit, in keeping with the interests of wildlife, the grazing or movement of livestock
d) may take measures towards immunisation against communicable diseases of the livestock kept within 5kms of a WLS or NP

Explanation

The above measures are considered quite critical in the Management Plan prescriptions as well as in undertaking preventive measures towards HWC.

2.2.10 Operation of other laws not barred

Nothing in the WLPA 1972 shall be deemed to prevent any person from being prosecuted under any other laws, for any act or omission that constitutes an offence against this Act or from being liable under such other law to any higher punishment or penalty than that provided by this Act.
3. FORESTRY-RELATED LEGISLATIONS

3.1 Prohibitions as per Indian Forest Act 1927 or various State Acts

As per Section 26 of the Indian Forest Act (IFA) 1927, various activities that may cause HWC directly or indirectly, have been prohibited in the reserved forests without permit viz. kindle fire, cattle trespass, tree-felling, removal of forest produce, hunting etc. Similar provision also exists in certain state-specific Acts (e.g., Tamil Nadu Forest Act 1882).

3.2 Development of Forests and Wildlife as per Forest Conservation Act 1980

As per Section 2 of the Forest Conservation Act (FCA) 1980, restrictions on the de-reservation of forests or use of forest land for ‘non-forestry purpose’ have been imposed, where, ‘non-forestry purpose’ specifically means breaking or clearing of any forest land or portion thereof for any purpose other than reafforestation but does not include any work relating, or ancillary to, conservation, development and management of forests and wildlife.

3.3 Promoting Conservation as per Biological Diversity Act 2002

As per Section 41 of the Biological Diversity Act (BDA) 2002, every local body shall constitute a Biodiversity Management Committee (BMC) within its area for the purpose of promoting conservation, sustainable use and documentation of biological diversity including preservation of habitats.

3.4 Declaration of critical wildlife habitat as per Scheduled Tribes & other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006

As per Section 2 (a) of the Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006, critical wildlife habitat means such areas of National Parks and Sanctuaries where it has been specifically and clearly established that such areas are required to be kept as inviolate for the purposes of wildlife conservation according to the procedural requirements as per Section 4 (1) and (2).

As per Section 4 (2) of the Act, the forest rights recognised under this Act in critical wildlife habitats of National Parks and Sanctuaries may subsequently be modified or resettled for the purposes of creating inviolate areas for wildlife conservation when it has been established under WLPA that the activities or impact of the presence of holders of rights upon the wild animals is sufficient to cause irreversible damage and threaten the existence of said species and their habitat.

Explanation
The BMCs could always be involved in addressing the issues related to HWC in their jurisdictions

Explanation
This provision could be used to maintain the contiguity of corridors for wildlife

Explanation
The above section is quite critical in addressing the HWC in the tribal settlements or forest enclaves
4. ANIMAL WELFARE-RELATED LEGISLATION

As per the explanation to proviso 11 (1) (a) of the WLPA 1972, it has been stated that the process of capture or translocation has to be made in such manner as to cause ‘minimum trauma’ to the said animal, which can be related to the ‘cruelty’ with reference to the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act (PCAA), 1960 and ‘mischief’ with reference to Indian Penal Code (IPC), 1860.

4.1 Welfare of animals under Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960

In order to prevent the infliction of unnecessary pain or suffering on animals during capture or translocation of a wild animal, all possible care should be taken to ensure the safety and welfare of the animal and avoid all unnecessary cruelty.

As per Section 11 (1) of PCAA, certain acts of omissions and commissions in respect of animals that could be termed as cruelty include:

- beats, kicks, tortures or otherwise treats any animal so as to subject it to unnecessary pain or suffering;
- wilfully and unreasonably administering an injurious substance to any animal;
- confining any animal to a cage that does not permit it a reasonable opportunity of movement;
- keeping any animal chained or tethered for an unreasonable time or in unreasonable manner;
- conveying or carrying any animal in such a manner as to subject it to unnecessary suffering;

Prevention of Cruelty (Capture of Animals) Rules, 1972 also prohibits capture of animals except by ‘Sack and Loop method’, tranquilliser guns or any other method that renders the animal insensible to pain before capture.

Explanation

To take maximum advantage of capture, the animals known to be indulging habitually in HWC or those playing a major role in HWC (e.g., unattached elephant bulls, alpha-monkeys, etc.) could form the main targets of capture. Translocation cages could be designed as per specifications of veterinary protocols and CZA manual.

4.2 Invoking Indian Penal Code (IPC) 1860 for welfare of the Animals

Whoever with intent to cause, or knowing that s/he is likely to cause, wrongful loss or damage to the public or to any person, causes the destruction of any property, or any such change in any property or in the situation thereof as destroys or diminishes its value or utility, or affects it injuriously, commits “mischief” IPC - Section 425.

As per Section 428 and 429 of the IPC, whoever commits mischief by killing, poisoning, maiming or rendering useless any animal or animals (including elephants) is liable for the punishment.
5. ENVIRONMENT-RELATED LEGISLATION

The Environment Protection Act (EPA) 1986 provides for the protection and improvement of environment and for matters connected therewith. The "Environment" as per EPA 1986 includes water, air and land and the inter-relationship that exists among and between water, air and land, and human beings, other living creatures, plants, micro-organism and property.

5.1 EPA 1986: Provisions relevant for HWC

EPA 1986 vests the central government with powers to regulate activities in certain areas facing the environmental threats. This may have bearing on the HWC because of the human activities and related developments in certain areas and therefore, regulation of such activities is considered significant in the management of HWC.

Section 3 (1) of the EPA 1986 gives power to the central government to take all such measures as it deems necessary or expedient for the purpose of protecting and improving the quality of environment and preventing, controlling and abating environmental pollution.

To meet this objective, the central government as per Section 3 (2) (v) of the EPA 1986, can restrict areas in which any industries, operations of processes or class of industries, operations or processes shall not be carried out or shall be carried out subject to certain safeguards;

As per Section 3 (3) of the Act, the central government may constitute an authority or authorities for the purpose of exercising and performing such of the powers and functions under section 5 and for taking measures referred to in section 3 (2).

Section 5 of EPA 1986 empowers the central government to issue directions to any person, officer or authority to comply with such directions as per Rule 4 of the EPR 1986.

Section 5 (1) of the Environment (Protection) Rules (EPR) 1986 states that the central government can prohibit or restrict the location of industries and carrying on of processes and operations in different areas on the basis of considerations such as:

- the biological diversity of the area which needs to be preserved (clause v)
- environmentally compatible land use (clause vi)
- proximity to a protected area notified under WLPA 1972 (clause vii)
- any other factor as may be considered by the central government to be relevant to the protection of the environment in an area (clause x)

The above provisions and rules can be relevant in framing a long-term strategy for avoidance of HWC by facilitating co-existence through regulating land use in the areas of potential HWC due to proximity to habitats and scope of fragmentation due to certain land uses, which may have relevance in addressing HWC issues such as:

- Regulating cropping pattern in high conflict zones
- Animal mortality on Railway tracks
- Mining in high conflict zones
- Infrastructure development in high conflict zones.

5.2 Eco-Sensitive Zone (ESZ) around protected areas

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 (1) read with clause (v) and clause (xiv) of section 3 (2) and section 3 (3) of the EPA, 1986, the central government has been empowered to issue draft notification as required under Rule 5 (3) of the EPR, 1986, the ESZ specifying the area and extent.

Concept of ESZ near PAs had been developed based on these provisions, for the purpose of conservation of wildlife where the habitats extend beyond the forests and where some areas outside PAs are needed to function as “shock absorbers”. Through the ESZ concept and use of these provisions we can have more effective interface with the fringe communities in adopting the land use practices particularly crops, which would not exacerbate HWC, and planning infrastructure and dwellings in areas with threats of HWC.

5.2.1 Development of Zonal Master Plan for ESZ relevant to HWC

The state government shall for the purpose of effective management of the ESZ, prepare a Zonal Master Plan in consultation with local humans and line departments, integrating environmental and ecological considerations and adhering to the stipulations given in the notification for approval of competent authority in the state government.

The Zonal Master Plan shall provide for restoration of denuded and degraded areas, conservation of existing water bodies, management of catchment areas, watershed management, groundwater management, soil and moisture conservation, needs of local community and such other aspects of the ecology and environment that may have relevance to the HWC.

The Zonal Master Plan shall also regulate development in ESZ and adhere to prohibited, regulated activities and also ensure and promote eco-friendly development for security of local communities’ livelihood.
6. DRUGS-RELATED LEGISLATION

The DFO/Wildlife manager coordinating the HWC management in the field along with the Veterinary Officer should be aware of various sections and legal implications under Drugs and Cosmetics Act (1940), Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act (1985) and various related Acts and Rules applicable in relation to the possession and use of such drugs.

6.1 Drugs and Cosmetics Act 1940

The Drugs and Cosmetics Act 1940 is to regulate the import, manufacture, distribution and sale of drugs and cosmetics. Drug includes all medicines for internal or external use of human beings or animals and all substances intended to be used for or in diagnosis, treatment, mitigation or prevention of any disease or disorder in human beings or animals.

Section 5 of the Drugs Act provides for constitution of a Board to be called ‘Drugs Technical Advisory Board’ (DTAB) to advise the central government and state governments on technical matters arising out of the administration of Drugs Act and to carry out other functions assigned to the said Governments by the said Act.

As per Section 26-A of the Act, central government has the powers to regulate, restrict or prohibit, manufacture, etc., of drug and cosmetic in public interest.

Under the Drugs Act, the central government, in exercise of its rule making power conferred on it by Sections 6 (2), 12, 33 and 33-N, has made ‘The Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, 1945’. The central government in consultation with the DTAB may amend any Rule in the interest of wild animals.

6.2 The Insecticides Act 1968

The Insecticides Act 1968 is an Act to regulate the import, manufacture, sale, transport, distribution and use of insecticides with a view to prevent risk to human beings or animals (including wildlife), and for matters connected therewith. The insecticide includes any substance specified in the Schedule or any substances (including fungicides and weedicides) as the central government in consultation with the Central Insecticides Board may notify.

There is prohibition on the import and manufacture (Section 17) and sale (Section 18) of certain insecticides not registered under the Act.

As per Section 27 of the Act, the central government or the state government is of opinion that the use of any insecticide is likely to involve such risk to human beings or animals (including wildlife) as to render it expedient or necessary to take immediate action, then the Government may by notification prohibit the sale, distribution or use of the insecticide, in such area, to such extent and for such period (not exceeding sixty days) as may be specified in the notification pending investigation into the matter.

Explanation
Many insecticides, pesticides etc used may have detrimental effect on the wild animals and could directly lead to HWC

6.3 Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act 1985

The Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act 1985 (NDPS) is an Act to consolidate and amend the law relating to narcotic drugs, to make stringent provisions for the control and regulation of operations relating to narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances.

As per Section 8 (c) of NDPS Act, 1985, no person shall produce, manufacture, possess, sell, purchase, transport, warehouse, use, consume, import inter-state, export inter-State, import into India, export from India or tranship any narcotic drug or psychotropic substance, except for medical or scientific purposes and in the manner and to the extent provided by the provisions of this Act or the rules or orders made thereunder and in a case where any such provision, imposes any requirement by way of licence, permit or authorisation also in accordance with the terms and conditions of such licence, permit or authorisation.
All prohibitions and restrictions imposed by or under Customs Act 1962 on the import into India, the export from India and transhipment of narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances shall be deemed to be prohibitions and restrictions imposed by or under the Customs Act, 1962 and the provisions of the Act shall apply accordingly.

6.4 Role of Veterinarians

The veterinarian should be aware of Section 31 of the Indian Veterinary Council Act, 1984, specifying standards of professional conduct and etiquette and a code of ethics for veterinary practitioners, which becomes relevant while dealing with the capture, treatment and translocation of wild animals during HWC.

7. CRIME AND PROCEEDURE-RELATED LEGISLATION

As per Section 50 of the WLPA 1972, various procedures and steps have been prescribed in the prevention and detection of the offences.

The process in the investigation of the offences dealing with the HWC can be related to various provisions of Indian Penal Code (IPC) 1860, Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC) 1973 and Evidence Act 1872 including other Acts.

7.1 Applicability of Indian Penal Code 1860

As per Section 59 of the WLPA 1972, every officer exercising the powers under the Act shall be deemed to be a public servant within the meaning of section 21 of the IPC 1860. Therefore, the lawful authority of public servants could be enforced at the time of investigation.

7.1.1 Contempt of the Lawful Authority of Public Servants

During the course of investigation or for that matter dealing with the HWC case/s, the officer exercising powers under WLPA may also enforce sections 172 to 189 of IPC, as applicable:
- Abscending to avoid service of summons of other proceeding (s.172)
- Preventing service of summons or other proceeding, or preventing publication (s.173)
- Non-attendance in obedience to an order from public servant (s.174)
- Omission to produce document to public servant by person legally bound (s.175)
- Omission to give notice or information to public servant by person legally bound (s.176)
- Furnishing false information (s.177)
- Refusing to answer public servant authorised to question (s.179)
- Refusing to sign statement (s.180)
- Resistance to the taking of property by the lawful authority of a public servant (s.183)
- Obstructing public servant in discharge of public functions (s.186)
- Omission to assist public servant when bound by law to give assistance (s.187)
- Disobedience to order duly promulgated by public servant (s.188)
- Threat of injury to public servant (s.189)
7.1.2 Right of Private Defence

Though Section 11 (2) of the WLPA provides for the private defence of oneself or of any other person against the wild animal by killing or wounding but forest officials can also exercise their right of private defence under section 96 to 106 of the IPC for safeguarding forests and wildlife provided extent to which the right may be exercised within the ambit of section 99.

7.2 Applicability of Criminal Procedure Code 1973

As per Section 50 of the WLPA 1972, the officers have been empowered for entry, search, arrest and detention as part of investigation of the case.

7.2.1 Dealing with the Arrests

The procedure laid down in the following sections of CrPC may be followed while dealing with the arrests related to HWC:

- When police (forest officer) may arrest without warrant (s.41)
- Arrest how made (s.46)
- Search of place entered by person sought to be arrested (s.47)
- No unnecessary restraint (s.49)
- Person arrested to be informed of grounds of arrest and of right to bail (s.50)
- Search of arrested person (s.51)
- Power to seize offensive weapons (s.52)
- Person arrested to be produced before Magistrate or officer-in-charge (s.56)
- Person arrested not be detained more than twenty-four hours (s.57)

7.2.2 Compel Appearance and Production of Things

The procedure laid down in the following sections of CrPC may be followed while issuing summons for appearance and production of things while dealing with HWC case:

- Forms of summons and how served (s.61 and 62)
- Form of warrant of arrest and duration (s.70)
- Summons to produce document or other thing (s.91)
- When search warrant may be issued (s.93)

Explanation

As per section 21 of the CrPC, state government may appoint Special Executive Magistrates for such term as it may think fit, for particular areas or for the performance of particular functions (s.144) and confer on such Special Executive Magistrates such of the powers as are conferrable under this Code on Executive Magistrates, as it may deem fit, which is considered relevant in dealing with HWC cases.

7.2.3 Maintenance of Public order and Tranquillity

During the HWC scenario, it is very essential to maintain public order and tranquillity by crowd management and therefore dispersal of unlawful assemblies and public nuisances including urgent cases of nuisance or apprehended danger is important.

As per Section 144 of CrPC, a District Magistrate, a Sub-divisional Magistrate or any other Executive Magistrate specially empowered by the state government may issue order in urgent cases of nuisance or apprehended behaviour, if such Magistrate considers that such direction is likely to prevent, or tends to prevent, obstruction, annoyance or injury to any person lawfully employed, or danger to human life, health or safety or a disturbance of the public tranquillity, or a riot, or an affray.
7.2.4 Investigation

The steps in the investigation followed by the police may also be followed by the forest officers within the ambit of section 50 of WLPA as under:

- Procedure for investigation (s.157)
- Report how submitted (s.158)
- Police officer’s power to require attendance of witnesses (s.160)
- Examination of witnesses by police (s.161)
- Use of statements in evidence (s.162)
- No inducement to be offered (s.163)
- Recording of confessions and statements (s.164)
- Diary of proceedings in investigation (s.172)
- Report of police officer on completion of investigation (s.173)

7.2.5 Conditions requisite for initiation of proceedings

The following sections are relevant while dealing with HWC cases:

- Cognizance of offences by Magistrates (s.190)
- Prosecution for contempt of lawful authority of public servants (s.195)

7.3 Applicability of Indian Evidence Act 1872

As per Section 50 (9) of the WLPA 1972, any evidence recorded under section 50 (8) (d) of the Act shall be admissible in any subsequent trial before the Magistrate provided that it had been taken in the presence of accused person unlike the confession before the police officer under section 162 of CrPC.

The following sections are considered significant under Evidence Act while dealing with HWC cases:

- Relevancy of statements in maps, charts and plans (s.36)
- Opinions of experts (s.45)
- Facts bearing upon opinions of experts (s.46)
- Grounds of opinion, when relevant (s.51)
- Presumption as to maps or plans made by authority of Government (s.83)

7.4 Applicability of Arms Act 1959

As per Section 34 of the WLPA 1972, every person residing in or within 10 kms of any sanctuary or national park and holding a license granted under Arms Act 1959 for the possession of arms or exempted from the provisions of that Act and possessing arms shall register her/his name with the CWLW or the Authorised Officer and no new license shall be granted without the prior concurrence of the CWLW.

As per Section 51 (4) of the WLPA, where any person is convicted of an offence against this Act, the Court may direct that the license, if any, granted to such person under the Arms Act for possession of any arm with which an offence against this Act has been committed, shall be cancelled including making her/him ineligible for license for a period of five years.

In the case of possession of illegal arms, action may also be taken under Arms Act as under:

- Section 3 (Licence for acquisition and possession of firearms and ammunition) and/or
- Section 7 (Prohibition of acquisition or possession of prohibited arms or ammunition)
8. LAND-RELATED LEGISLATION

8.1 Habitat Acquisition as per Land Acquisition Act 1894

As per Section 24 (2) (b) of the WLPA 1972, there is a provision to acquire land or rights, between the owner of such land or holder of rights and the Government, on payment of such compensation, as is provided in the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

Explanation
Significant in declaration of Sanctuary and National Park

8.2 Conservation Easement as per Easement Act 1982

A ‘conservation easement’ is a voluntary, legal agreement that permanently limits uses of the land in order to protect its conservation values and allows landowners to retain ownership and management responsibilities for their land, but requires that they (and all future owners) observe certain prohibitions and limitations on development and use in order to protect the land’s conservation values.

The applicability of the Act has restricted extent and recognizes two rights:

As per Section 4, an ‘Easement’ is a right which the owner or occupier of certain land possesses, as such, for the beneficial enjoyment of that land, to do and continue to do something, or to prevent and continue to prevent something being done, in or upon, or in respect of, certain other land not his own.

As per Section 52, a ‘License’ is a right where one person grants to another, or to a definite number of other persons, a right to do, or continue to do, in or upon the immovable property of the grantor, something which would, in the absence of such right, be unlawful, and such right does not amount to an easement or an interest in the property.

9. SECTORAL-RELATED LEGISLATIONS

As per the MoEF&CC D.O. No.2-12/2012-PE dated 14-6-2018, grave concern has been expressed that a number of wild animals were getting killed due to the accidents under and across power lines; on railway tracks; and on roads and highways. It has also been suggested to follow the Guidelines developed by the Wildlife Institute of India named “Eco-friendly measures to mitigate impacts of linear infrastructure on wildlife (WII, Dehradun, 2016)”, duly approved by the Standing Committee of National Board of Wildlife, while designing new projects as well as dealing with the existing ones.

As many of the line departments are directly involved in mitigation and amelioration of the HWC issue, therefore we need to understand the relevant provision of their Acts.

9.1 Dealing with the Electrocution cases under Electricity Act 2003

Large number of carnivores and herbivores get electrocuted every year due to the erection of lines in violation of Electricity Act besides unauthorised use of electricity connections, and electrocution from transmission lines passing through forest areas.

As per Section 135 (1) of the Electricity Act, whoever commits theft of electricity or dishonestly uses electricity for the purpose other than for which the usage of electricity was authorised is liable for the punishment under this Act.
As per Section 161 of the Act, if any accident occurs in connection with the generation, transmission, distribution, supply or use of electricity in or in connection with, any part of the electric lines or electrical plant of any person and the accident results or is likely to have resulted in loss of human or ‘animal life’ or in any injury to a human being or an animal, such person shall give notice of the occurrence and of any such loss or injury actually caused by the accident.

**Explanation**
All new proposals of power transmission lines should be in conformity to the WII Guidelines and cases of unauthorised use of electricity or any death of wild animal should be reported to the Electrical Inspector.

9.2 Dealing with railway conflict cases under Railways Act 1989

There are rising incidences of animal injury and death from getting hit by locomotives while crossing railway lines.

As per Section 11 (a) of the Act, railway administration may, for the purposes of constructing or maintaining a railway, make or construct such temporary or permanent inclined-planes, bridges, tunnels, culverts, embankments, aqueducts, bridges, roads, lines of rail, ways, passages, conduits, drains, piers, cuttings and fences, in-take wells, tube wells, dams, river training and protection works as it thinks proper.

Also, as per Section 29 (2) (c), the central government may by notification make rules for regulating the mode in which, and the speed at which rolling stock used on railways is to be moved or propelled.

**Explanation**
At places where elephant corridors and railway tracks intersect, the construction of underpasses or overpasses can be planned to enable the animals to cross over without any difficulty as per WII Guidelines for linear structures. Besides, action could be pursued for reducing the train-speed in such tracts.

9.3 Dealing with road accident cases under National Highways Act 1956

There are rising incidences of animal injury and death on National and State Highways due to fast moving vehicles. The sudden crossing of highways by animals is also a hazard for motorist and sometimes even when driving within permissible limits, accidents may occur.

As per Section 5 of the National Highways Act, it shall be the responsibility of the central government to develop and maintain in proper repair all National Highways; and by notification, the same may also be exercisable by the state government.

**Explanation**
WII linear infrastructure Guidelines should be followed in respect of both new as well as existing roads.

9.4 Dealing with conflicts under Fisheries Act 1897 and State–specific Marine Regulation Acts

Certain species such as Sea turtle, Dugong and other freshwater and marine species face conflict with the fisherman as well as fishing practices in vogue impacting their breeding and survival and require mitigation measures. The legal provisions in the various Acts with conservation implications include -

As per Section 6 of the Fisheries Act 1897, various state government have been empowered to make rules prohibiting fishing in any specified water for two years.

As per various state-specific Marine Regulation Acts (10 maritime states / union territories), the regulation, restriction and prohibition of fishing in specified area and for specified period with regulation on the fishing vessel acts as a preventive measure towards conflict mitigation.

**Explanation**
During the Olive Ridley turtle breeding season in Tamil Nadu, there is ban on sea fishing under provisions of Tamil Nadu Marine Fishing Regulation Act, 1983.
10. MITIGATION-RELATED LEGISLATION

10.1 Preparedness and Management under Disaster Management Act 2005

As per Section 2 (d) of the Act, ‘disaster’ means a catastrophe, mishap, calamity or grave occurrence in any area, arising from natural or man-made causes, or by accident or negligence, which results in substantial loss of life or human suffering or damage to, and destruction of, property, or damage to, or degradation of, environment, and is of such a nature or magnitude as to be beyond the coping capacity of the community of the affected area.

As per Section 2 (e) of the Act, “disaster management” means a continuous and integrated process of planning, organising, coordinating and implementing measures that are necessary or expedient for (i) prevention of danger or threat of any disaster; (ii) mitigation or reduction of risk of any disaster or its severity or consequences; (iii) capacity-building; (iv) preparedness to deal with any disaster; (v) prompt response to any threatening disaster situation or disaster; (vi) assessing the severity or magnitude of effects of any disaster; (vii) evacuation, rescue and relief; (viii) rehabilitation and reconstruction.

10.2 State Disaster Management Authority and District Disaster Management Authority

Section 14 provides for the establishment of the State Disaster Management Authority (SDMA) and section 25 for the constitution of the District Disaster Management Authority (DDMA).

As per Section 30, the DDMA will act as the district planning, coordinating and monitoring body in accordance with the Guidelines laid down by NDMA and SDMA and will prepare the District Disaster Management Plan (DDMP) for the district as per section 31 and will also ensure that the Guidelines for prevention, mitigation, preparedness and response measures laid down are followed by all the departments of the state government at the district level and the local authorities in the district.

Explanation

HWC is also a natural phenomenon and the DDMA should take measures to reduce or mitigate conflict including capacity building.

11. DEALING WITH DIFFERENT SPECIES IN CONFLICT

The specific sections (11(1)(a) and 11(1)(b), 12(bb), 29 and 62) and schedules (I or II to IV) of WLPA 1972 determine the competent authorities for the issue of orders when any species is in conflict.